NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | April 17, 1939 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

SEE MEXICO AS NEXT RED EXPLOSION SCENE

FERNANDO DE LOS RIOS

STOLEN SPANISH GOLD IS CACHED; TROTZKY, DE LOS RIOS MAY PLAY NEW ROLES

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Long before Generalissimo Franco's Nationalists fired the last volley at the last fleeing Reds in the last battle of the Spanish Civil War, Communist gold was on the way to Mexico.

Spain's Communist-Loyalists stole nearly $100-million from the bank of Spain at the outbreak of the revolution. This money was not the property of the bank; it represented the savings of the people of Spain. This stolen gold was used to propagandize the Communist cause for the duration of the war.

America got its share—approximately $15-million—turned over to Fernando de los Rios, then ambassador to Washington from the Spanish Communist-Loyalist government. Mexico—a trump card of the Communist International—got its share, estimated at $6-million.

Recently the mystery yacht Vita unloaded another shipment of stolen gold at Tampico, Mexico — a shipment valued at $6½-million. The cargo was accompanied by six Spanish refugees, officers of the vanquished Communist-Loyalist government.

De los Rios expended his $15-million carefully and wisely in stringing the threads of propaganda. In the dying days of the Soviet Spanish State, scores upon scores of left-wing organizations massed in Washington —led by that blind man's buff of American political organizations, the so-called League for Peace and Democracy. They were united in demanding that the United States intervene in the Spanish War on the side of Communist butchers.

De los Rios distinguished himself at this time as a "common, ordinary liar" when he sought through lying deceit to convince the American Catholic Clergy that there was "no persecution of religion in Loyalist Spain."

But now, as "Ambassador-without-job," de los Rios is expected to join the long list of alien politicians who make up the left wing lecture bureau known as the University in Exile. He is reported recently to have made a junket into Mexico, perhaps to scan the expenditure of the $12½-million concentrated there by the Communist-Loyalists.

At the same time it is rumored the Abraham Lincoln Brigade is being mobilized in this land where Leon Trotzky, grand daddy of all contemporary Communist Butchers, lives in blissful exile, dreaming perhaps of a coming revolution.

It is not only likely, it is very probable that the Communist International has directed its attention on Mexico since its rout by Franco's Nationalists. In Mexico may be found many counterparts of pre-revolution Spain. There the same sham Republicanism prevails; the same devilish hatred of Christianity by political leaders; the same relentless, bitter, cruel persecution of Catholics, clergy and laity.

Mexico may be the locale of the next Communist explosion.

FROM THE TOWER *By* Father Coughlin ★ **The Jewish Problem** *By* Dr. J. S. Barnes ★ **EASTER IN THE UKRAINE** *By* Mary Richert

Shrine Dinner Chats

By **Father Coughlin**

WE SUSPECT THAT the hobgoblins of Hollywood are endeavoring to profiteer upon the divorce proceedings of Joan Crawford and her latest husband, Franchot Tone. The daily newspapers are carrying this heavy load of scandal concurrently with the film release of *The Ice Follies of 1939*, with Joan Crawford playing the leading role.

At one time La Crawford's name was LeSeuer. As the name suggests, she was a good, Catholic girl. Eventually she went to Hollywood — the moral playground of America. Is it any wonder that she fell in line with Hollywood ways? It would have been unusual had she not done so.

In the Hollywood course of things she married Douglas Fairbanks, Jr., divorced him and married Franchot Tone. That is her privilege. Our criticism is not concerned specifically with this latest divorce as much as it is with the manner in which it was publicized.

I suppose you saw how the press over-played the entire affair. The principals themselves probably would have preferred it otherwise. But the press, always with an eye to circulation, performed its self-imposed duty by displaying prominently accounts of Joan's evening with Franchot at a night club in New York while her divorce suit was pending in Los Angeles.

* * *

Why Do We Stand For It?

Is it not obnoxious to have newspapers affront us with publicity of movie marital failures?

Is it not doubly obnoxious that youngsters of America are subject to this influence which breeds in them the easy-come, easy-go idea of marriage?

The loose morals of Hollywood are Hollywood's own responsibility. But by featuring them on front pages throughout the land the press makes an indelible impression on formative youth that is far worse than the isolated examples set by Hollywood individuals.

As far as I am concerned, I am nauseated with the constant flow of pictures and news stories glorifying domestic difficulties of actors and actresses.

If Joan's divorce were undertaken with the objective and motive in mind of rearranging her spiritual affairs, it would be commendable. Even though we attribute the best of motives to her, we sense that the Simon Legrees of the box office snapped their whips behind the scenes of that New York night club.

Editors know how these affairs are arranged. Thus, the notoriety attending the Crawford-Tone divorce compels us to question the sincerity of the editors who collaborate in defiling the youth of America in order to obtain revenue from the current scandals having to do with the glamour girls and boys of the screen.

* * *

Barnyard Morals Film News Fare

What kind of morals dictate the movie page publicity of our daily newspapers? For the few thousand dollars they receive from the Hollywood despoilers of youth, can the daily press conscientiously applaud divorce as a virtue?

Are the editors and publishers of America content to have their children admire divorce as the ideal way of life?

Is the advertising dollar the measuring rod of a free press?

Is the youth of America to be schooled in the belief that a woman is something to be traded promiscuously; something to receive front page headlines for her marital mixups?

Is the boy or girl resident in your household to be instructed by the daily press that the glory of womanhood is identified with one's ability to strut and smile, while the old-fashioned virtues of chastity and fidelity are depicted as something appertaining to the hoop-skirt and stone age?

The trouble with American fathers and mothers is that they are too apathetic. They lack backbone when they permit this morally undermining publicity to enter their homes unprotested. No wonder so many children are prone to accept as the standard of life the morals of the Hollywood marry-go-round.

The Romance Of a Lineman

Then there is the case of thrice-married Clark Gable—a top-notcher for years in adolescent admiration. Perhaps the dancing daughters "go for him" in a big way. Men, too, from a professional standpoint, for he is masculine and a good actor. Probably he deserves much credit for scaling the heights of moviedom from a humble beginning as a telephone lineman.

But Clark, too, "went Hollywood," maritally speaking. About a month ago he was divorced from his second wife.

The other day Clark's cream-colored roadster ricocheted into Kingman, Arizona. Accompanying him was the beauteous Carole Lombard. She, also, had been married before.

Gone With the Wind is to be Clark's next movie masterpiece. I suppose the scandal-mongering publicity agents arranged this latest liaison to check with the production of *Gone With the Wind*. And I suppose it is an ill wind that does not blow good to somebody. If it fails to blow Carole's way, it will blow on you, Mr. and Mrs. Reader, as a challenge to wake up.

Perhaps, Carole is like a lot of other silly girls. Perhaps, she thinks this great he-man of shadowland is to be idolized. However, chances are three to one that the boy friend, Clark, will himself be gone with the wind as far as she is concerned before the seven years' wonder runs its course.

He will if he follows Hollywood tradition.

Wake Up, America!

He and Carole have made the front pages along with Franchot and Joan. Meanwhile, Messrs. Roosevelt, Hitler, Franco and Mussolini are relegated to secondary positions in the minds of Young America. The fanfare and press ballyhoo of Hollywood divorces and re-marriages capture the imagination of your movie-minded boy and girl. The boy is envious—the girl is thrilled by the didoes of these antitheses of Saint Sebastian and Saint Agnes.

Perhaps it is none of our business what they in Hollywood do in their personal lives. But is it the business of respectable newspaper editors to flaunt these divorces in the face of the rising generation at so many dollars an inch—the same editors who exercised so much care in putting across their campaign of silence when hundreds of thousands of decent men and women, boys and girls, were being murdered in Spain for maintaining the Christian virtue of fidelity?

It is regrettable that editors find time to publicize the multiple marital maneuvers in the Hollywood set—the set which contributed thousands of dollars to help the Communist cause in Spain.

Mr. and Mrs. America, wake up, *and speak up*, before your own fine sons and daughters become obsessed with the same flippant, immoral ideas of marriage which prevail in the land of Make Believe.

Pal Sniffs Spring

HELLO FOLKS:

Well, it's beginning to look as if spring is here to stay with us for awhile. And that little fact won't hurt my feelings at all. I don't suppose it makes you feel bad either, does it?

I had my spring cleaning already. The Boss's Dad took me home with him and I got scrubbed within an inch of my life. Boy! Did I get it! I complained, but it didn't do any good —he just went at it harder. I hope that will do for a long, long while. I guess he had the urge to clean like the ladies get it in the spring, and took it out on me.

All the people in the neighborhood are busy fixing up their yards and planting new shrubs and trees. Gee! It's wonderful what spring will do to people. I even want to dig up the grass just to smell the nice fresh earth. I'd stay out most all day if I could, but being Circulation Manager sorta keeps me busy indoors. Well, we all have to give up something for the cause, so I don't mind. Old spring fever will just have to get outa my life.

All the hard work and sacrifices we all have to make are well worth the trouble. It would just do your heart good to see how pleased the Boss is with the results. Gee! Our subscriptions are coming in just wonderful. You should be mighty proud of yourselves, folks.

* * *

Now I don't want to seem persistent 'bout this new contest, but I want to remind you to get in early. Y'know there's a "promptness prize," and you might just as well work for it. You boys can forget the "migs" and the base ball game on the corner lot for a few evenings and bear down on this contest, Remember, a chance like this isn't offered to you every day. Yes, and get your sisters busy, too. The whole family can take part in this one. Just read the rules and do as they tell you. You have as good a chance as the next fella to win.

* * *

I hope you folks are planning to come up to the Shrine to see me on your vacations. I'm looking forward to your visit. Don't forget to bring your dogs along, too, 'cause I'd sure like to meet some of my pals. We'd have a swell time while you folks were looking at the Shrine. 'Course, we'd have to stay out in the yard while you went inside.

Now, don't forget. It's a date. I'll be waiting for you.

* * *

I'm getting along pretty well with my album. Most of the pictures are in it and they sure look swell. How about making this a prize collection? I'd like to have a picture of every dog whose family reads SOCIAL JUSTICE. Boy, I bet I'd have the best collection ever, then! We'd have a lot of mascots — yes, you could all be "honorary" mascots of SOCIAL JUSTICE. Now wouldn't that be somethin'? I suppose you're looking for the "catch" in this, aren't you? Well, here it is—I'd think it was pretty swell if every picture was wrapped up nice and carefully in a subscription. 'Course, I want the pictures anyway, but it would be much nicer if I could credit each picture with a subscription. The more subscriptions, the more bones for your Circulation Manager, y'know. 'N you don't want me to be a shadow, now, do you? What good could I do for the Boss if that happened to me, I ask you.

Thanks for all you've done already, folks. I'm mighty proud of you. We're sneakin' up on that million mark, little by little, and it's all your doing. Keep up the good work!

S'long—I have to take the Boss out for a walk now to look the garden over. Dear, oh, dear, am I ever busy these days! (But I enjoy it, believe me.)

PAL.

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date .....

Name .....

Address ..... City .....

State ..... Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1939, by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks is required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL. D., Editorial Counsel; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from Social Justice for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 3A April 17, 1939 No. 16

Behind THE NEWS ★ by "Yours Truly"

Pattern for War Is Laid Out

AMERICA'S INTERNATIONAL financiers and their congressional creatures have made no pretense of keeping America's aggressive foreign policy secret and confidential. Through kept columnists, paid penmen and marionette statesmen the radical group has announced publicly its intention to aid the "democracies" against the "dictatorships."

In applying punitive tariffs on Reich products beginning April 22, the United States is embarking on a policy that may lead eventually to war. American foreign policy today, as manifested by this and other recent undiplomatic gestures, reveals a striking parallel to events which plunged this country into the World War.

The Line-Up: Hitler vs. Germans

The present policy is based on the following dubious premises: that the people of Germany are not solidly behind Hitler and the National Socialist regime; that the subjugated peoples of the New Reich will rebel and throw off the yoke of Nazi "oppression"; that the peoples of the democracies are ready and eager to protect themselves against the manufactured threat of Nazi aggression; that the United States can indulge in belligerent speeches and war-like sanctions without precipitating war; and that subversive cliques through their agencies of propaganda — the press, radio, cinema and theatre — already have cast American opinion according to their mould.

Granted, for the sake of argument, that the people of Germany do not subscribe to Hitler's aggressive actions, they are incapable of resisting the National Socialist government.

It is not certain, moreover, that the puppetized, subjugated States resent German annexation of their lands and people. Past and present events would indicate that these peoples threw themselves into the arms of Hitler in the hope of casting off the yoke of international finance and Communism. From the Reich these peoples expect economic, political and social freedom.

Is it not reasonable to believe that if the conquered peoples had not been willing to accept the protectorate of Germany they would have fought desperately to defend themselves in the hope that their heroic struggle would have won world sympathy and co-operation? Yet they neglected to do so. They preferred peace.

Even 'Democracies' Are Peace-Minded

The peoples of the so-called democracies are likewise peace-minded. This even the war-mongers ackowledge as attested by their relentless jingoistic propaganda.

If American statesmen and diplomats persist in their war-like utterances and actions, there can be only one result—war. It happened in 1917. It can happen again.

The United States has applied economic sanctions against Germany and her subjugated States and curtly has informed the world that America refuses to recognize the absorption of Czecho-Slovakia into the Reich. Under-Secretary of State Sumner Welles

(Continued on Page 15)

Soviet Russia and Germany Long Secret Military Allies

Soviet Journal Warns of War

The Soviet army newspaper, *Red Star*, warns the Russian public to be prepared for war, an Associated Press dispatch from Moscow discloses.

"One thing is inevitable," the Soviet army paper declares, "a decisive battle between socialism and international capitalism is unavoidable.

"As long as a capitalist encirclement exists, our peaceful life will remain only an interval between armed clashes with the world bourgeoisie," the *Red Star* continues, and concludes:

"We are ready to fight any aggressor. In the forthcoming struggle the Red army will fight *to eliminate the underlying cause* which produces the danger of military attack on the Soviet Union, that is to exterminate capitalist encirclement. It is necessary to be ready for this any day, any hour."

[The italicized phrase (italics ours) is highly significant.—EDITOR'S NOTE.]

World Learns How Reds Helped Reich to Rearm; Now Snub Democracies

By "OBSERVER"

MORE THAN TWO YEARS AGO, following the sensational revelation of a secret meeting between Russian and German militarists in Skagen, observers of European affairs prophesied that Russia and Germany would be forced eventually into a military understanding.

The prophecy was based on the fact that ever since German militarism and German banking—co-operating with international revolutionaries and financiers—introduced Bolshevism into Russia, Germany and the Soviet have co-operated in exploiting Russia's rich resources.

Although, technically, the agreements between vanquished Communized Russia and conquering militarized Germany were supposedly abrogated in the defeat of Germany in the war and the Versailles peace pact, yet for all practical purposes *the original agreement continued in force between Germany and Soviet Russia!* Germany exchanged technical knowledge, industrial inventions and military achievements with the Soviet for raw materials, airplanes and German aviators trained in Russia.

Russians Helped to Rearm Germany

Thus, Germany has been responsible for the growth of Russia's Red armies and the development of the Soviet's industry and agriculture; while Soviet Russia has contributed to the rise of militaristic National Socialism by supplying Germany with arms, aviation equipment and trained aviators in violation of the Versailles Treaty.

The record of history proves that ever since 1919 Soviet Russia and Germany have secretly co-operated with each other in rearming: Russia needed Germany's trained military tacticians, technicians and chemists, while Germany needed the arms, raw materials and planes.

When the National Socialists came into power the world was surprised to learn that the Reich had been secretly rearming until it had become one of the greatest military threats in modern times. Germany had been stripped of her territories which produced war materials, in order to prevent rearmament; hence the world was at a loss to account for Germany's surprise armament in spite of all the precautions. The world discovered later that Soviet Russia had produced the planes for Germany, with the aid of German aeronautical experts and technicians and had trained aviators of the Reich's famous flying blue circus.

Purges Fail to Keep Plot Secret

A roving newspaper correspondent arrived at a hotel in Skagen and saw six military men in conference. They left, as they had come, everyone by a separate and different route. The Russian trio was headed by General Yegerov, representing Marshal Tukhachevsky, while the Germans were led by General von Beck, representing General von Blomberg. It developed afterwards that the Russians and Germans had entered into an alliance guaranteeing exchange of military secrets and reproachment in all crises involving either nation. Germany agreed to continue lending Russia technicians, experts and strategists to train and to equip the Red army; while Russia agreed to furnish Germany with the raw materials necessary for waging war.

When the news of this conference leaked out, Stalin ordered the "liquidation" of Tukhachevsky and six gen-

(Continued on Page 4)

Films, Oil (?), Planes Keep 'Jimmie' Busy

New York, July 7—(By Associated Press)—James Roosevelt, son of the President, sailed for London on business today as vice-president of Samuel Goldwyn Pictures, Inc.

WASHINGTON, D. C.—(By staff correspondent of SOCIAL JUSTICE)—The motion picture business provides a convenient front for the son of the President. His "business," apparently, has many ramifications. Like the "little old lady" of yesterday's song, who went window shopping all around the square, it's "a little bit of business here and a little bit of business there."

According to Capital rumor—and your correspondent hastens to assure the reader that this is definitely in the rumor department—the "Old Man's boy" is rushing overseas to wind up some kind of a "hot oil" deal with Great Britain before the American Neutrality Act is replaced by a real one. Still another by-product of the rumor factory has to do with army airplanes.

The manufacture of "inside" behind-the-scenes rumors is one Washington industry which has escaped the depression; in fact, it is working overtime with three full eight-hour shifts!

The "hot oil" story that one gets from the right insiders has to do with oil from Government locked wells designated for Army and Navy use. The British want 200-million barrels of oil for war preparedness purposes.

If the British contracts can be closed before May 1st, a three-year supply can be assured, the story goes. "Jimmie," the tipsters say, was to be "used" to get the Government to release the military oil reserve—something like Teapot Dome, only quieter! Naturally, since the blast of publicity which attended the Administration's secret airplane arrangements, the Army and Navy Departments would be reluctant to let themselves in for more of the same kind of publicity. So that Jimmie—or anybody else—would have a man-sized job of "fixing."

The airplane rumor is almost as "hot" as the Army and Navy oil. This deal, it is reported, has to do with the release of superior military airplanes not yet in production but plans for which have been reserved exclusively for the United States Government.

In addition to the more or less public activity in supplying planes to Great Britain and France, the American industry is understood to have aviation deals on with Belgium, Holland and Poland. Competing concerns are seeking to use Jimmie Roosevelt "influence" as well as "family connections" in the State Department. Besides the Grumann airplane makers previously referred to in SOCIAL JUSTICE (See April 3), the Lehman Aviation Company is reported in negotiation with foreign buyers. This firm is reputedly connected with the Lehman banking family.

It is common knowledge that no American or European plane now in production can compete with the German war planes. Observation of German planes in action in Spain disclosed a climbing power and speed apparently due to some secret device in the motor as yet unknown to American builders.

The F-3 type of plane which American factories are to make for France, according to information here, is inferior to the F-4 or G-38 which are not in production though "reserved" for our own Government. The war-makers hope, through influence if possible, to prevail upon this Government to release these superior war planes for the use of foreign nations.

Between his heavy duties as a motion picture executive and the close friends who want to use him in oil and airplane schemes "Jimmie" will be kept plenty busy.

Money Is the Flaw

Even a Foreign War Cannot Hide Fact of Nation's Failure in Reform

ALL ECONOMIC REFORMS wait on money reforms. There can be no permanent recovery until our immoral money system is corrected. Idle money creates idle men, and idle factories, and idle fields. Idle men, factories and fields create want and need where plenty and abundance could, and should be, enjoyed.

The *United States News* recently carried a front page article to the effect that America now has more money, but less production. The *News* experts point out that the nation's money supply in 1929 amounted to $27-billion while in 1939 it amounts to $32½-billion. Nevertheless, our industrial production index for 1929 was 119 while in 1939 it is only 100. The lower index for 1939 is reflecting itself in fewer jobs for workers and in a smaller income for the nation.

In the last analysis, the recovery problem is beginning to be recognized as a problem of finding work for money. But the crux of the whole problem is what kind of money are we talking about.

Money Volume Based on Debt

When you read in your newspapers of $32-billion, you must bear in mind that $26-billion of this figure is fictitious money; i. e. *privately-created money*—money that banks create out of nothing by mere bookkeeping entries. This fictitious money is commonly called bank credit, or credit money.

There is still only $6½-billion dollars in actual money. The balance, or credit money, has been substituted for actual national money. In fact, this credit or check money represents nearly 95 per cent of all money in use.

Now the irony of this situation is that this credit money can only exist when debt is incurred. *The greater the debt, the greater the "volume of money."* This credit money could well be called collateral money. Only when a borrower has real wealth—his home, his farm, his factory, to put up for collateral—is he entitled to borrow this credit money. That is one reason why we now have idle money.

Only the wealthy—a small minority—have access to this reservoir of credit. They, however, refuse to invest because the Government has endeavored to impose a tax penalty on corporations and individuals that saved earnings instead of spending them. Then, too, the Government is in direct competition with them. During the past nine years the Government has invested in private businesses nearly $25-billion dollars. During these same nine years investments in private corporations and foreign securities fell from about $10-billion a year to a low of under $500-million in 1932 and up to barely $2-billion in 1938.

The Government has invested billions in relief, in real estate, in public works, other billions in subsidies to agriculture, to shipping interests, to insurance companies, and last, but not least, to the banks.

Interest on Money Our Biggest Tax

Under our present system, money is created by banking interests, loaning to corporations, to individuals and to the Government.

This dishonest money system forces every citizen to pay tribute, (interest) to the bankers for the very existence of their necessary medium of exchange.

Every person who uses money is thereby forced to pay an invisible tax to people who have done nothing to earn it. The fact that people are paid for their work in dollars instead of actual wealth makes them, as producers and consumers, carry this burden of invisible taxes. Today, this is the biggest annual tax the American people pay, yet, few of them realize how they are being surreptitiously bled.

Most intelligent Americans are now aware that the Federal Reserve System is actually owned by a few wealthy stockholders—and that it is not a Government institution in any sense of the word—but a private corporation organized for the purpose of making profits.

But few, apparently, realize the inherent danger in our money system or the dishonesty on which it is predicated.

Nor, apparently, do they realize that the Federal Reserve System produces large returns for its wealthy stockholders by an unlawful and unconstitutional manipulation of our money.

Honest Money at Base of Reform

This is the basic flaw in our economic system. The fundamental requisite of sound economics is an equitable distribution of what people produce through the medium of exchange, namely money, created by the people themselves.

This is within the letter and the spirit of the Constitution, which says: *"Congress shall coin money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign coins, and fix the standard of weights and measures."*

Under the present monetary system the money and credit monopoly of the nation has been handed over by the Government to a few who have in the past, and will in the future, manipulate it so as to cause depressions, destroying property values and bringing eventual disaster on the nation itself.

Neither the alleged socially-minded protagonists of the New Deal, nor the Republicans before them, have ever given the people a chance to pass on this issue. Partly because of mass ignorance, but mostly because of mass apathy, Wall Street bankers continue to control the life-blood of the nation, money.

Not until this sinister financial syndicate is destroyed and the Constitution enforced will the people of America be delivered from the economic slavery in which they find themselves.

It is folly to drift or deliberately throw in our lot with the foreign affairs of Europe and engage in preparations for a bloody war to "restore prosperity." This action will never hide the domestic failure throughout America, because a dishonest money system is permitted to operate unmolested.

Soviet Russia and Germany Long Secret Military Allies

(Continued from Page 3)

erals; while Hitler ordered the military liquidation of von Blomberg and six aides. General von Beck was dispatched to the French Foreign Office to explain the Reich-Soviet alliance and to assure France that the arrangement did not militate against the existent Franco-Russian defense treaty and Franco-German nonaggression pact.

Russia Becomes Unknown Quantity

However, ever since that time, it has become increasingly apparent that the nations of the world were not convinced by Russia's and Germany's vociferous protestations of hatred for each other; or that Russia and Germany had not been actually co-operating with each other in various ventures involving both countries. In the Czech crisis, Chamberlain, Daladier, Mussolini and Hitler conferred in the Four Power conference, but Russia's Litvinov was not welcomed. Great Britain and France deliberately outlawed Russia; for their diplomats suspected that the Soviet was ready to doublecross the "democracies" and to throw in her lot with the brown dictatorship of the Reich.

Reason demonstrates that Russia should not be lined up with the "democracies"; for Communism and National Socialism are essentially the same systems of state socialism; with the one exception that Communism preaches world revolution and National Socialism advocates . world aggression. Litvinov, therefore, was forced to use the League of Nations as his sounding board in the Czech crisis.

In the Munich pact Soviet Russia was completely ignored. Russia, therefore, was isolated by the so-called democratic nations of Europe and relegated to the position of an unknown factor in European diplomacy, Russia's diplomats, sensing that the democracies were aware of the understanding existing between the Soviet and the Reich, made no secret of the German-Russian understanding for mutual non-aggression.

Stalin Gives Notice of "Isolation"

Josef Stalin in his address before the All Soviet Congress about one month ago castigated the democracies for trying to stir up trouble between Germany and Russia. He capitalized upon the fact that foreign propagandists had pointed out that Germany had cast envious eyes on the Soviet Ukraine, thus trying to create a pretext for war between the Soviet and the Reich. Stalin expressed his certainty that the Reich, after subjugating Carpatho-Ukrainia, *would not advance into Ukrainia proper.* Evidently, the Soviet dictator had received some reassurance from der Fuehrer that Germany's threatened march on Ukrainia, foretold in *Mein Kampf*, would not take place. Stalin selected a particularly significant time to make this statement; for the whole world had been practically assured that Germany intended to continue its march of conquest into Ukrainia—a march which had been planned for years!

Stalin, furthermore, availed himself of the occasion to deliver a typical Hitleresque burlesque of the democracies and their futile efforts to halt the march of dictatorship by pitting one dictatorship against another. It seems ominous that Stalin should criticise and ridicule the efforts of the supposed allies of Russia—the democracies of Great Britain, France and America—to create wars and the pretexts of war. Stalin virtually accused the democracies of endeavoring to pit Russia against Germany in an effort to use Russia as the pawn in the game to halt the Reich's triumphal march of conquest and annexation.

Stalin for all purposes informed the world that Russia would not wage war with Germany to salvage the democracies or to prevent the Reich from annexing territories fostered by the democracies. Russia's dictator left no doubt in the mind of the democracies concerning Russia's sympathies in the inevitable war between the democracies and dictatorships.

World Has Ample Proof of Partnership

It seems that the democracies should have been prepared by Stalin's speech to expect Russia's decision that she would not align with Great Britain and France to guarantee the territorial integrity and sovereign independence of Poland. Instead of refusing outright to join the league of democracies, Russia merely refused to commit herself to any policy which demanded the democracies' armed resistance to German attack on Poland or on its territories. Russia, therefore, has shown by its action what Stalin has already professed in word—that the Soviet *will not ally with the democracies to protect them against the Reich!*

For some time, observers have prophesied that Germany and Russia would be allied in an attempt to create a "United States of Europe." In plan, Russia was allied with the democries and Germany with the anti-Comintern or Fascist bloc: so that Russia could betray her allies and Germany could do the Judas on the anti-Communist nations.

As is evident from the very nature of Bolshevik totalitarianism, Soviet Russia does not belong in a bloc with the democracies any more than National Socialist Germany belongs in the anti-Communist bloc. Thus, Russia adopted the idea of democratic internationalism, while Germany adopted the ideal of dictatorial nationalism.

In any war between the democracies and dictatorships Russia would betray the democracies and the Reich would doublecross the Fascists. Thus when the two betrayers had completed the job of hurling the world's nations into war to destroy one another, they—Russia and Germany—would together claim the booty of war. Bolshevism in Red and Brown would triumph over both the anti-Communist democracies and dictatorships; and Russia and Germany with their State Socialism would carve out of the wreckage a Communist United States of Europe.

American financiers who have cooperated with Russia by subsidizing her military, industrial and agricultural systems are beginning to suspect that they have indulged in a suicidal policy of financing an enemy which will destroy its best friends.

In many Communist writings the evangelists preach the gospel of internationalism and the prophets describe a millenium in which the nations of the world would be allied in a world federation of soviets.

WORLD CAPITALISM—THE COMMON ENEMY

Italy's Invasion of Albania—Mr. Baruch's Plea for a Cash and Carry Plan—All are Pieces of Same Puzzle

TWELVE YEARS ago, in 1927, Italy and Jugoslavia threatened to go to war over Albania. At that time this appeared to be the spark that would explode the European powder barrel. However, Great Britain, France and Germany extended their timely cooperation to prevent an Italian-Jugoslavian war.

Meanwhile, Albania fell under the Italian sphere of economic influence. It was Italian gold that kept King Zog seated on his throne in medieval splendor. It was Italian gold that developed Albania's resources and subsidized its seaports.

Although the wild Mohammedan tribesmen of Albania were in constant revolt against the Italian influence, Italy was not the only foreign power interested in the little Adriatic kingdom. Outside capitalists knew how to exploit the people. The natives saw themselves pushed back into the mountains by foreign investors. King Zog was falling into disrepute rapidly. It was not only the presence of outside exploiting capitalism that motivated Mussolini to send troops into King Zog's domain last week. Il Duce recognized that capitalism, as represented by the imperialism of Britain and France, was endeavoring to crack the Berlin-Roman axis. He knew that it was planned on the part of the Empire to have Jugoslavia enter into the compact of encirclement. As a pretext for gobbling up Albania, Mussolini demanded payment of some $30-million which he had loaned to King Zog and which was long overdue. The Albanian either couldn't pay or wouldn't pay. Thus, the Italian troops moved in.

It is regrettable that such aggression is necessary. While no one defends it, nevertheless no one defends Great Britain's aggression not only in India, in Africa but also in Europe. It is plain to every observer that Britain's main project is to maintain the status quo of its ill-gotten colonies to the detriment of Italy and Germany and the rest of the world. The same observation holds good for France. Thus, one injustice is breeding a second injustice. In self-preservation Mussolini is on the move, and all those who obstruct his progress by joining the unholy alliance of encirclement instituted by Great Britain and France will feel the force of Fascist arms.

Collects on Broken Promise

Let us not forget that as far back as 1914—at the beginning of the World War when Britain was wooing Italian men, money and munitions — she promised Italy both Albania and Ethiopia as territorial rewards for repudiating the Triple Entente.

Both Britain and France broke their promises.

At the Versailles conference Italy was forgotten. Moreover, the military provisional government which Italy had set up in Albania during the war was dissolved. At this present moment British agents are planning to dominate not only Jugoslavia but Albania to the discomfort of Il Duce.

Thus, it is clear that Italy's move into Albania even though the world calls it unjust—was the direct result of Britain's unjust attempt to isolate Germany, Italy, Spain and Portugal and thus slowly starve the populations of these countries into subjection and restore the regime of Capitalism. Already Jugoslavia and Roumania had entered into agreements with Britain and France to quarantine the Fascist nations. Poland was prepared to do likewise. The Albanian coup has checkmated this whole move.

When one analyzes the European situation, it becomes clearer and clearer that all this turmoil does not originate merely from the principle of Britain and France against Germany and Italy. It is a contest between capitalism and anti-capitalism.

It is evident at this writing that Italy now holds the upper hand in the Balkans; that Jugoslavia will not bow before the will of the capitalistic empires. It is likewise evident that the proposed British-Polish pact will be honored as the customary scrap of paper; for it is only a matter of hours, or days at the most, before Germany will melt the steel band of encirclement on the northeast and take over Danzig.

Capitalism Is Common Enemy

In its last analysis Italy is not interested in annexing Albania so much as she is determined to keep King Zog's territory as an unofficial protectorate and her ports open for Italian shipping in the Adriatic and the Mediterranean. Having accomplished this, Il Duce will hold the upper hand in Greece.

Therefore, of more importance from an historical analysis, is the unfolding of the world-wide assault against capitalism. Understanding capitalism as an economic system which exploits populations, colonies, the working masses and the farmers, through the private issuance and control of money, one does not condemn the Communists or the Fascists or the Nazis on the point that they are anti-capitalist. Moreover, when one understands that it was the excess of capitalism that gave birth to Communism, one suspects that eventually the capitalistic regimes of Britain and France need not expect too much assistance from Moscow if actual warfare breaks out in Europe. Stalin is just as anxious to see Great Britain and France succumb as is Hitler or Mussolini. Possibly, in the event of war between the Rome-Berlin axis on the one hand and the London-Paris axis on the other, Stalin will stand in the background ready to deliver help against the axis which he fears the more.

Cash and Carry Favors Britain

Elsewhere in this edition you will read of Mr. Bernard Baruch's testimony, which advocates a cash and carry plan for the benefit of American capitalistic war-makers and profiteers. This is another way of stating that Mr. Baruch is in favor of helping Great Britain, whose navy alone is capable of carrying American munitions and whose cash, squeezed from the exploited bodies and souls of the Indians and other colonists, is capable of paying the price.

Mr. Baruch, together with Mr. Stimson, Senator Key Pittman and the members of the League for Peace and Democracy and every other anti-Fascist organization, are fronts for capitalism. If their plans are adopted in the United States, they will permit Mr. Roosevelt to name the unjust aggressor. Personally, I know Mr. Roosevelt's antipathy towards Germany. It is nothing new. It existed since 1933 at least. Personally, I am also acquainted with his love for the private control and issuance of money. It is a love that has been unfolded before the eyes of the American public since 1935 with every billion dollars of issued bonds.

Be not mistaken. While no sane American defends either Communism or Naziism, no sane citizen here or elsewhere can defend capitalism which originally, through its excesses, was the direct cause of the world's economic illness.

Therefore, if the Baruch-Stimson-Pittman-League-for-Peace-and-Democracy-Communist proposal be carried into effect, I advise you parents who have sons approaching the military age to begin training them in the use of the bayonet and in tossing poison bombs, so that these sons will become sergeants or lieutenants or captains when they move overseas with the next two years.

London and Paris in 'Trenches' Next Time

You can't keep out of war with a cash and carry program.

Why do Great Britain and France permit Germany and Italy to proceed with their common program? Why do not Britain and France start the war and get it over with? The answer is plain—and for two reasons: First. Great Britain will never fight a battle unless she has somebody else to fight it for her. She wants—at least her government does—American men, munitions and money on her side definitely before she will open up hostilities. The English people do not want war: and this is evident from many accounts carried in independent English newspapers.

Second. the government of Great Britain knows positively that if war breaks out. the first great casualties will be Paris and London. Wars are not as they were yesteryear. They are not fought in trenches only. They are not fought on foreign soil only. The laboring class and the agricultural class are not the only cannon fodder. The banking class, the commercial class. the silk-hat class, the diamond-earring class — they know better than we know how the German air force will descend upon London and wipe out Buckingham Palace, the Parliament buildings, the residential district and even the sacrosanct structure of the Bank of England.

That is the main reason why both Great Britain and France are reluctant to start a war. Next time the rich as well as the poor will be the victims of bombs.

The same observation holds good for Paris.

Consequently, if war can be avoided by a program of encirclement, which is the polite word for starvation and economic strangulation. Great Britain will pursue that policy. But Great Britain will not fight Germany and Italy unless she is assured that Russia and the United States will assist her to save her imperialistic capitalism. As far as Russia is concerned, Great Britain dare not count on assistance from that quarter. As for the United States. you who read these lines will decide whether or not your sons and fellow citizens will become cannon fodder to assist the economic regime of capitalism.

* * *

Will you please take me seriously and write your letter to your Senator this week petitioning him to pass a Neutrality Act that will not incorporate a cash and carry program; a Neutrality Act which will not permit the President of the United States to name the unjust aggressor? A three-cent stamp is cheaper than a coffin.

Father Coughlin

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.

EDITORIAL COUNSEL

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with government's chief concern for the poor.

Write That Letter!

DOES YOUR SENATOR know how you feel about the United States taking part in another foreign war?

Does he know how you feel about more American boys being shot down in the defense of London or Paris?

If he does not, write to him today. Write the letter yourself; do not leave it to your neighbor this time. Never mind the grammar or the misspelled words. The point is that your United States Senator is entitled to know what you, Mr. and Mrs. America, feel and think. Tell him in your own words.

There will not be any general war in Europe—or anywhere else—if the United States gives notice beforehand that it intends to stay out. And the United States will not get into any of their quarrels over there if the people of the United States can make themselves heard.

In these days of dictators—and democratic rulers who often conduct their rule in secret as if they were dictators—the peoples of the world have little chance to make themselves heard in the affairs of government, after election day. Be thankful that we in America can still be heard from. Here and now is your chance to have a say in formulating our Government's neutrality policy.

Write to your Senator today and tell him that you want a Neutrality Law that will keep America out of foreign alliances and out of the blood business. Tell him that you do not want any "cash and carry" compromises but a neutrality bill with teeth in it—a bill that goes the whole way!

The war-makers—the financiers, the international controllers of money, the profiteers from war materials, the whole vast industry of the blood business—have already talked to your Senator at Washington. Some of them are mighty good talkers. They have almost persuaded him that you feel the same way they do about it. If he has not heard from you they might be able to convince him.

One of the most common arguments goes something like this: There's going to be a gosh-awful war over there and we will have to be in it sooner or later. That being the case, we ought to get busy right away wherever there's any sign of trouble. Don't let that stand as your opinion.

It isn't fair to leave your Senator in doubt. Write him today. As Father Coughlin says on his page this week: "A three-cent stamp is cheaper than a coffin."

Leave It to King Zog

AT LEAST THE LITTLE ex-monarch of Albania warmed the cockles of American hearts by his straightforward, if undiplomatic, statement of last week. Troubled for years with foreign financial aggression inside Albania, his patience came to an end following Il Duce's entrance into Tirana.

From Salonika, Greece, Zog was asked what he thought of European diplomats. He characterized Hitler and Mussolini as two madmen. But worse than that, he used a naughty adjective in describing Chamberlain and Daladier as certain kinds of fools.

It appears that the comic opera kingdoms are passe. Every territory in Europe is lining up on one side or the other—the capitalist side or the anti-capitalist side. Some day, the convention of these monarchs, presidents and tin-foil dictators will be arranged on a South Sea island. If we can find a Gilbert and Sullivan to do the Winchell act on this convention, future generations will have many a laugh in store for them.

One of the substantial criticisms to King Zog's characterizations of the four head men of Europe and elsewhere is that he did not include one or two others more deservingly. Guess whom?

Meanwhile, if we poor mortals knew all the inside doings now brewed in the pot of international diplomacy, perhaps we would estimate Neville Chamberlain as the saving cube of sugar which sweetens the draught. SOCIAL JUSTICE is still of the opinion that the Prime Minister of England is doing a fine job in the interests of peace.

As to be Expected

AFTER SPENDING his Easter vacation in his Southern home at Warm Springs, Georgia, President Roosevelt hurried back to Washington. Foreign affairs, as to be expected, preoccupied his mind. Otherwise, Warm Springs would still be his place of abode.

As to be expected, he issued a quasi-formal statement as he was about to entrain for Washington. "I'll be back in the fall, if we don't have a war," are the words which he left behind.

As to be expected, the President expects war. In fact, many of his Cabinet members and influential friends share that expectation and have grounded it upon their intemperate speeches together with intemperate deeds, such as boycotting Germany.

Sober Americans have ceased wondering why Mr. Roosevelt does not concern himself with national issues—with the 12-million unemployed; with the 22-million on relief; with the falling off of business; with the upraising of taxation; and with the wave of national discontent which is beginning to wash against the bulwark of our nation. As to be expected, after six years of experimenting which succeeded in mortgaging the United States to the international bankers and private issuers of money, there is only one political salvation left for Mr. Roosevelt and that is to divert the attention of our people to other people's business.

Even Walter Winchell is pitching for peace. Bernard Baruch, who is not an international banker but only an international financier, (there's a distinction discernible with a hair-splitting microscope) as to be expected, is standing almost alone with Mr. Roosevelt—i.e., alone, insofar as he voices the opinions of Stimson, the League for Peace and Democracy, the anti-Fascist clubs, the lift-the-embargo losers and the anglophiles.

As to be expected, we old-fashioned followers of the "no foreign entanglements" policy are too "horsey" and "buggy-ish" to be consulted. We are not allowed to vote in this "democratic" country on the question of engaging in Europe's mess.

A German Martyr

ON THE OCCASION of the burial of Father Ivo Trautscheidt, the late pastor of the Franciscan parish of Kelkheim, the entire townsfolk, with few exceptions, honored him as a hero. As you remember, he was the priest who was fined $100,000 and was subjected to many persecutions by the Nazi government. Our information this week indicates that our good friend was really a martyr to the cause of Christianity, having died in prison from the outrages which his weak physique was not able to endure.

Incidentally, during his pastorate he was paid a salary by the government. Now the government press is referring to him as a traitor and his followers are called "duped crowds."

It is very difficult to understand the Nazi mentality in relation to the Christian religion. Nazis believe—like the Bolsheviks—that the only martyrs are those who die for the Nazi cause. Heroes and heroines who bleed and die for Christian principles are regarded as traitors.

When will the Third Reich government become calm itself sufficiently to appreciate its growing loss of prestige—not so much for its opposition to Bolshevism; not so much for its struggle against the imperial powers of Europe, as for its increasing hostility towards the Christian Church and its persistent increase of persecution against Christians and religious Jews?

We can understand readily how a government, in self-defense, will lay the heavy hand of law on atheistic Jews and Gentiles; on exploiting Jews and Gentiles; on radical Jews and Gentiles. It is beyond our process of reason to understand how any sane government fails to differentiate between the good and the bad, the innocent and the guilty, the religious and the atheistic.

Granted that Naziism has brought about many desired economic reforms in Germany, it is riding for a fall unless it reverses its policies towards genuinely religious citizens and towards innocent Jews and Christians.

On the same principle that there can be no peace without justice, it is evident that the injustices—spiritual injustices and social injustices—which have made their appearance in Germany, will only terminate in disaster by breeding a revolution against Naziism, just as Naziism in its day was a revolution against Bolshevism.

The mark of lasting genius in the case of a ruler, a dictator or a diplomat appears in his ability to balance the scales of justice. The scale that rises too high or descends too low is a weather vane which foretells social storms. Only the scale that is accurately balanced is the indicator of permanence, peace and prosperity.

Children of Spain

AS THIS WEEK'S edition was about to go to press, there arrived at the editorial desk the following telegram, which, it seems to us, writes an excellent editorial. After conveying Easter greetings to Father Coughlin, the writer says:

"Congratulations on this week's issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE (April 10). If you remember, the Hollywood stars were very much concerned about the poor children in Spain until the fall of Barcelona. Since then there has not been a word from Hollywood regarding the poor children of Spain. Before that time it was a matter of daily concern with them.

"Two weeks ago Ambassador Bowers made an appeal from Washington stating that the children of Spain were in greater need than ever before. As far as I am able to learn Hollywood has turned a deaf ear to this appeal.

"In other words, under Communist leadership Hollywood helped the children of Spain. Under Christian leadership it would seem these same children are no longer in need of help from the Hollywood point of view."

The Jewish Problem—and a Solution

Will a Zionist State Solve the Problem of the World's Unhappy Jews?

German persecution has fastened the attention of the people of the world on the plight faced by the Jews. In this article, Dr. Barnes, noted lecturer and writer, not only considers a general solution, he offers a solution in detail and asks for criticisms.
—The Editor

By Dr. J. S. BARNES

IN MY RECENT article by which I attempted to explain the Jewish question, I concluded that the only solution acceptable to Christendom was to look for a country suitable for Jewish colonization where all the millions of unwanted and unhappy Jews could find a home and found a national State with ample room for expansion and where, if the experiment were successful—as it undoubtedly could be made, were it properly organized and financed—Jews from all the world over would eventually hanker to live, and to create their own civilization.

The idea is not a new one. It is already an idea widely canvassed, but nobody seems to have come forward with a practical scheme. It has, therefore, remained, so far, largely an airy aspiration. The present article is accordingly an attempt to show how the idea might be realized.

It would be interesting to know how leading Jews in America react to this plan, and the author would be happy to receive, through SOCIAL JUSTICE, any criticisms of a constructive kind, with a view to improving it. In this way a positive step could be taken towards solving a problem, the existence of which is becoming increasingly dangerous to Christendom, increasingly terrible for the Jews and increasingly menacing to the peace of the world.

The persecution of the Jews in Germany has thrust the Jewish Problem before the attention of the world. Efforts are being made by Great Britain, the Dominions, and by America, to do something for the refugees, to relieve the hardest cases. But these are emergency measures. The great problem of the unhappy Jewish populations throughout Eastern and Central Europe remains untouched and unsolved. It is not only a problem of the persecuted German Jews, it is a problem of unwanted Jews in many other countries, where they live in perpetual fear of pogroms and as despised or hated members of society. It is a problem that requires a radical solution on grounds, not only of charity and humanitarian sentiment, but also on grounds of the common interest of both Jews and Gentiles alike.

A form of Zionism, the creation of Jewish State, seems to me to be the only solution. The problem cannot be solved by emigration to other settled lands, because Jews in large numbers, particularly the Eastern Jews, are not wanted anywhere. This fact should be squarely faced.

Nor is Palestine a solution. Apart from the difficulties inherent in the Palestine situation, Palestine is too small a place. Only a fraction of the unwanted Jews, even if other circumstances were wholly satisfactory, could find room in Palestine. The solution must therefore be looked for elsewhere.

Only Three Empires Could Give Assistance

There are only three empires in the world which can find room for the founding of a populous Jewish State: the Russian, the French, and the British.

A small Soviet Jewish Republic was founded in Eastern Asia with a degree of success, in an effort to weed the Jews out of other parts of Russia. But not many Jews would willingly go to Russia in present circumstances and the great Northern belt of the Russian Empire, including the Eastern Provinces, is not yet mature for large scale emigration. There have been besides—it should not be forgotten—a number of very ugly pogroms in Russia since the Revolution, pogroms which the central government failed to arrest.

Within the French Empire, Madagascar has been suggested, and this island is about the only possible place available under the dominion of the French. But the climate is not altogether suitable. The parts fit for white colonization are not homogeneous and the native problem is a complicated one. Investigation, I feel sure, would show that it is as unsuitable for anything but limited Jewish emigration as British Guiana.

The British Empire on the other hand can offer Northern Rhodesia, or part of it. This solution has already been suggested and has been widely welcomed. But nobody, as far as I am aware, has attempted to work out the outlines of any practical scheme on the grand scale required.

Northern Rhodesia is a country forming a high plateau between 3,000 and 5,000 feet above sea level, the greater part of which enjoys a delightful, healthful climate. The total area is 290,320 square miles. Most of the country is covered with thin forest, easily cleared, and fertile. Besides timber—maize, tobacco, wheat and coffee flourish there, and cultivation could be extended to fruit farming, as well as sheep and cattle raising on a large scale. The country is also rich in minerals, which include copper, zinc, cobalt, vanadium, gold, silver, lead, manganese, tin and mica. It possesses, indeed, unlimited potentialities, and awaits only a large white population in order to prosper. It is a veritable Promised Land.

At present the white settlers in Northern Rhodesia number less than 10,000. There are about 1,400,000 blacks. Of course, the white settlers do not want the Jews. They want to keep the country for themselves. I submit that it is unfair to allow them to do so. They can never hope to populate it. The British Empire is crying out for white settlers everywhere.

They want people of Nordic stock especially, a stock easily assimilable by the present British populations. But the Nordic races are not providing emigrants. The population of England is about to decline and may even be destined to fall precipitately. Canada, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and Southern Rhodesia, not to speak of Kenya, can absorb all the emigration available and, even so, with their low birthrate, future increase is likely to be painfully slow except perhaps in Canada, because of the high birthrate of the French inhabitants and American immigration. In these circumstances, it seems to me that to reserve Northern Rhodesia also for the British exclusively is not only a policy of dog-in-the-manger selfishness but is positively absurd. Selfishness of this kind will in the long run tend to cause concerted attacks on the British Empire by the more prolific nations. It is surely a bad policy. Here then is an opportunity—an opportunity to create a self-supporting and exceedingly valuable new Dominion, which within a generation might have a population as large as Australia's, providing trade opportunities which will be bound to create a new and vast market for British goods. At the same time it is an opportunity of the greatest humanitarian import. To neglect it would be more than a great refusal. It would be a folly.

Would Need a Planning Agency

The commercial benefit which England would surely derive from the scheme suggests that she could well afford to shoulder the entire cost of removing the whole of the present white population to Southern Rhodesia and resettling them there fully compensated, both for the material losses in the changeover and for the upheaval involved thereby. Also for the buying out of all rights in land, houses, railroads and mines, etc., and handing over the assets as a free gift to the new Jewish State with a view to giving it a good start, and *providing the basis of profitable finance.*

The whole scheme would, of course, have to be carefully planned by an agency created for the purpose and incorporated by Royal Charter. I would suggest that the British Government, to complete its direct contribution, should also shoulder the

(Continued on Page 15)

Gen. Moseley Indicts Jewish War-Makers

PHILADELPHIA, PA. — The world's war-makers propose an international conflict to restore "Jewish hegemony" throughout the world, Major General George VanHorn Moseley, United States Army, retired, stated at a national defense meeting in Philadelphia.

Among these war-makers are certain individuals in the United States, he indicated, who would pit this nation against Germany *to compel Adolf Hitler to restore the Jews to their former places.*

General Moseley traced our domestic troubles, and the unmistakable drive toward war evident in the United States, to the rise of Communism, which now bends a strong influence against the national administration. He said that Communist organizations have enjoyed a "tremendous" growth under the New Deal.

Proclaiming that he belonged to no organization, and speaks as a representative of no political party, General Moseley accepted personally the full responsibility for his remarks. "We must stand up and fight for our rights today," he said, "especially must we fight the battle for peace at a time when the champions of war are powerful, yes, and encouraged in the very highest places of our Government. Once we butted in and fought a war to make the world safe for democracy. We did not accomplish our mission. *The war now proposed is for the purpose of establishing Jewish hegemony throughout the world.* We must recognize that fact. *The Communists have forced us to state it frankly.*

"Recently a speaker came to Atlanta and addressed the assembled Jews. I understand that other speakers are covering the nation with the same message. What was the gist of his remarks? He stated, in effect, that the influence of the Jews throughout the United States was being organized to force the present Administration to compel Hitler to reinstate the refugees in Germany to their former status, even at the cost of war. *The speaker added that they were much encouraged in their efforts by the President himself, and by the charming First Lady.*

"In contemplating war today, we must remember that war costs money and that as a nation we are already 'busted.' Our former allies have not as yet paid us for what they owe us on the last war. Where, then, is the money coming from? History will have to repeat itself and we will have to re-establish the Jew in power and borrow money from him. *A partner of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., it must be remembered, financed the Russian Revolution and he was mighty proud of his achievement . . .*

"How perfectly ridiculous it sounds today," he continued, "when someone refers to the present Russian government as a 'democracy.' Of course the Communists do say that communism is 20th century Americanism, or sometimes they put it, 'Communism is 20th Century democracy.' What a beautiful picture it will be. *Your sons and mine, I have three, fighting shoulder to shoulder with the Communists of Russia and being paid with money borrowed, probably, from the Jews.*"

Peace in the United States can be assured, General Moseley maintained, *if Communism is defeated.* This in turn can be accomplished by driving out all traces of the New Deal, he said, for the New Deal is the principal backer of Communism. With Communism will go Fascism and Naziism, he added, for they are but "antitoxins" against Communism.

"How strange it seems to you and me, patriotic Americans who love our country dearly," the General said, "to realize that a nominee for the Supreme Court of the United States must be called before an investigating committee and asked to affirm or deny the charge that he is a Communist. The nomination of a Red to be a member of the Interstate Commerce Commission is further evidence of the attitude of the Administration. The nominee had no qualifications for the place. He had been repudiated by the people of his own state. But probably his appeal to the Administration was based upon the fact that he was a Red, through and through."

Poland Holds Key to War Threat in Europe

Long-Smouldering Quarrel Behind Present Crisis

WARSAW, POLAND — This nation today holds the fate of Europe in its hands as two European blocs are feverishly courting its statesmen.

Fate has placed Poland between Russia and Germany, with the Rome-Berlin axis on one side and the sham "democracies" of Russia, England and France on the other.

All agree that a war over Poland inevitably would become another world war, with America escaping direct involvement as at the outset of the World War, but gradually being sucked into the maelstrom.

Propagandists prate that the issue dates from the World War. This is far short of the historical facts of the case. Danzig's position following the World War only added fuel to flames smouldering for centuries.

The fact is that East Prussia does not rightfully belong to Germany, but to Poland. East Prussia is merely a German colony. There are no true Prussians living there, only German colonists who settled and adopted the name of the country. The real Prussians, before the advent of the Teutonic knights, were more akin to the Lithuanians and had nothing in common with the Germans. The great Lithuanian, Jagiello, at the head of the combined armies of Poles and Lithuanians, defeated the Teutonic knights in 1410. This was the first check on the *"drang nach osten"* policy and East Prussia became a fief of Poland.

Since then a secret subversive group, operating behind the German flag, has had the determined objective of a successful drive to the East for centuries. Frederick the Great, Bismarck, and now Hitler, have been successive public leaders in this program of aggression. However, those who direct this plan remain discreetly in the background, perfectly content to remain behind the scenes, with Christianity their immortal foe.

Thousand-year-old Poland prospered as a great power from the 14th to the 17th century. While unwieldy clusters of feudal states surrounded it, agriculture afforded it lasting wealth. Only when nationalism welded Slavic and Teutonic peoples into flanking nations did the kingdom of Catholic Poland succumb in three successive partitions, 1772, 1793 and 1795, and lose its national identity. The same group that fomented and fostered the French Revolution directed the division of Catholic Poland.

Resurrected by the Treaty of Versailles as a republic of 20-million Poles instead of a kingdom of 8-million, Poland has had for its primary objective a defense against the partitions that for 150 years subjected its people to foreign rule.

Following the World War, the red troops of Soviet Russia endeavored to march through Poland, having already set up their barbaric reign in Hungary under Bela Cohen (Kun), but were stopped by loyal Poles who halted the red menace in their own nation.

Christian Poles have no love for Russian Communism. This generation of Poles remembers only too well the fierce days after the World War when false leaders masquerading under Anglo-Saxon names in England, France and America, coldly refused them aid in their hour of need.

On the German side of the picture the Poles are faced with economic strangulation. Following the World War, the city of Danzig was separated from Germany and placed under the management of the League of Nations to free the mouth of the Vistula river from Berlin control and permit passage of Polish commerce—coal, wheat, lumber and perishables—to and from the Baltic Sea. However, the commission men and other profiteers dictated exorbitant passage rates on what then was Poland's only outlet to the sea.

It was to break this strangle-hold the exasperated Warsaw government designed, for speedy transfer of cargoes from ship to train and vice versa, the model city and most modern seaport in the world—Gdynia. The city was established at a cost of $100-million.

This is one of the reasons why Poland cannot afford to lose the Polish corridor and Gdynia without a fight. Her national economic existence virtually is at stake.

Danzig is de-militarized in its present status. Should the German army occupy the Free City of Danzig, then Gdynia, with its storage plants and unrivaled shipping facilities, as well as the base of Poland's navy, would come immediately within the range of German artillery.

England's Prime Minister, Neville Chamberlain, has announced no trespassing will be permitted on the Polish corridor and Danzig. Hitler has replied: "He who is willing to pull chestnuts out of the fire for others must expect to get burned."

So far Hitler has refused to touch Danzig. Poland has warned it means the severance of trade relations if Danzig is annexed, but Germany's increase of trade relations with Czecho-Slovakia, Hungary, Roumania and now Turkey, may permit this bold move.

Japan Refuses Haven for Jews

WHEN A LITTLE GROUP of 30 Jews arrived in Manchukuo from Europe, en route to Tientsin, writes A. T. Steele, China correspondent for the *Chicago Daily News*, they were promptly detained by the authorities and deported to Shanghai where they became wards of the international community.

"When you ask Japanese military men why Jews are unwelcome here, you usually get a reply like this. 'Because most Jews are Communists!'" writes the *Chicago Daily News* correspondent.

"Jewish immigration to China is nothing new. Nineteen hundred years ago, in the first century A. D., a little band of Jewish families driven out of Babylon made the long trek over trans-Asian caravan trails to this country." Mr. Steele goes on. "They settled in Kaifeng, the great walled city on the Yellow River which later became the capital of China.

"Although the Jews have been almost completely absorbed by the ocean of Chinese around them, traces of the Jewish pioneers are still to be found in that old city. . . .

"Jewish financiers have played a conspicuous role in the development and upbuilding of the great ports of the China coast. In Hong Kong and Shanghai enormous property holdings are in Jewish hands. No name has been as prominent in the remarkable expansion of Shanghai from a mud-flat village to one of the mightiest cities of the world as that of the Sassoon family. The Sassoons are British Jews."

According to the Chinese Maritime Customs Survey of 1938, recently released, the estimated foreign losses in China resulting from the war amount to $800-million of which half was incurred by the British—mostly Sassoon holdings—$200-million by the American financiers, and the remainder by German interests.

Some understanding of the frightful destruction of war is gained by the following report of losses: 8 out of 15 large flour mills were demolished, the rest damaged; 23 cotton mills, 197 dyeing and weaving establishments, 200-odd printing plants, 21 lumber mills and innumerable small factories were destroyed. This destruction means unemployment and starvation.

Goebbels Barks for Peace but Still Prepares for War

PAUL GOEBBELS

BERLIN, GERMANY—The German Propaganda Minister, Dr. Paul Goebbels, recently assailed the "war-mongering" of the democratic nations emanating from London, Paris, and New York. He emphasized, however, that the war-mongers chiefly were concentrated in the United States.

The propaganda chief, writing in the newspaper *Voelkische Beobachter*, described the war-mongers as mostly Jews, Communists and Freemasons, with a sprinkling of clergymen. He added that it was time the war-mongers were shown up and named, that if war breaks out again in Europe, people will know who to blame for the catastrophe. He concluded by stating that "the totalitarian states wanted nothing else but the realization and safe-guarding of their vital interests."

At the same time as Herr Goebbels was shouting his bitter defiance of war-seeking nations, his own country was not idle. Sixty carloads of war materials from the Skoda Munition Works, which Germany had taken from Czecho-Slovakia, were shipped to Roumania. This was the second such consignment of war materials, to be followed by many more. Perhaps Herr Goebbels could sometime explain why his military masters are arming the Balkans so completely.

For a long time the war-mongers have tried to conceal themselves and to act by indirection under the cloak of secrecy. All — both the "Democracies" and the Totalitarian States— are pressing and preparing for war, while publicly affirming their love of peace.

This paradoxical and hypocritical position is impossible to maintain indefinitely. It inevitably leads to war and destruction.

Franco Builds New Spain on Ruins of Old

BURGOS, SPAIN — Madrid's fall marked the end of the last remnants of Communist-Loyalist rule in this war-torn land, and now Generalissimo Francisco Franco must begin the vast work of reconstruction.

Those close to him predict that Franco will fulfill the indications he has given and establish a Christian corporate state, based on the principles of social justice enunciated in the great encyclicals of Popes Leo XIII and Pius XI.

Such a social system—already in effect in Portugal ruled by Oliveira Salazar—recognizes the rights and responsibilities of the individual, all of which are inclined toward the good of the entire state. Such a social system was visioned by Kurt von Schuschnigg, former Chancellor of Austria, before that nation was drawn forcefully into Anschluss with Germany.

Under the corporate state system workers are banded into corporate organizations, with a governing voice. Employers, similarly, are unionized. Workers are paid a wage sufficient for the maintenance of a family. This regulation already has been put into effect in some sections, notably the rich mercury and copper mines operated by foreign companies. These companies formerly were notorious for their low wage policies and the exploitation of labor under the absentee landlord system.

Most important, profits will be limited to approximately seven per cent in order to permit more equitable distribution of the national income.

New Spain's social and economic life, in a sense, will be modeled after life under the medieval guild system whereby employer and employe were limited by set standards and were vested with legal authority to determine output, prices and wages. The sources of production—factories and mines—will not be permitted to close down, throwing thousands out of work.

Observers have noted a tremendous revival of faith in Spain and a desire on the part of Spaniards for a united Christian state. When churches were burned and desecrated and the religious brutally murdered by Communists, the people of Spain came to realize at a tremendous cost the value of their Christian faith.

General Franco has declined a loan from the banks of England and has likewise refused to commit his nation either to Germany or Italy. The Franco finance system will not be based upon the false gold standard, but will be predicated on the real wealth of Spain.

L'Osservatore Romano, the semi-official Vatican newspaper, recently stated editorially: "Catholic Spain has brought incalculable moral and political support to Europe. A pacified and peaceful Spain showing her wounds . . . will speak with irresistible eloquence for that spiritual and political reform of the world which will show the way to abhor war and exalt peace.

"All who followed the war with Christian and human sentiments cannot but greet the event with a feeling of gratitude to God that Spain is returning to respect and to the ancient teachings, to the heroic faith of her fathers."

General Franco has dedicated himself to the task of giving every Spaniard a home, work, security and justice.

Easter in the Ukraine

THE GLORIOUS FEAST of the Resurrection Brought only Malaria in this Russian State, but the Hardship of the People Sent their Hearts and Souls Back to the God Who had been Stolen From Them.

By Mary Richert

Spring comes early in the Ukraine, and the Russian peasant heart is gladdened. As Easter approaches it grows warm, even in southern Russia. The winter that brought sickness, privation and perhaps famine passes; gardens bloom, and the "borsch"—native soup—is freshened with the early vegetables.

Thus springtime in the Ukraine found us reveling in the new warmth. With our minds intent on spring cleaning which in peasant homes consists in whitewashing the walls and remudding the floors, few of us noticed the early mosquitoes. Sometimes we would be bitten slightly, but we paid little heed until one day Rieca came to have tea with me.

The children had been playing outside, and when I called them for cookies, Ura's little arms and legs were badly swollen. It was then Rieca said, "Madame Mary, while you have been so busy overseeing the housecleaning, your calls have been less frequent among us, and perhaps you don't know that hundreds of people are again being stricken with malaria. You should watch closely for your little daughter."

This fact was verified when the doctor came that night. He warned us malaria was beginning to sweep the countryside. He felt it would be almost impossible to combat it, for the supply of quinine was running low.

In the days that followed we took our quinine regularly, until our ears rang during every waking hour. We would walk along the broken board sidewalks, meeting people shivering in the heat of the warm southern spring, seeing them glassy-eyed, sallow and hollow cheeked. Many were the quinine grains we parted with, knowing that lives were at stake. And even from those who no longer believed in God came "spaceba,"—thank you—"someone must have sent you."

And so Easter Week was ushered in amid more and more deaths from malaria. Easter had been a great festive occasion formerly in Russia. The weeks preceding Easter had been devoted to the baking of Easter bread—a cross between cake and bread dough. These were made into large loaves and brought to church, where they were piled high on a table.

Tussah, our maid, had been most rebellious about anything pertaining to religion. So much so that one day in the early winter while she was dusting, she came across an ikon, or picture, of Our Lady which my husband had found among some old boxes in the back shed the day before. She called to me and said, "What is this here for?" Not waiting to hear my reply, she threw it on the floor face downward and stepped upon it. I was speechless, of course, for the moment, but knowing the Russian temperament, I did not even chide her. Knowing that kindness and good example would make a more favorable impression, I bided my time.

On Holy Thursday eve she came to me saying, "Could I please have some white flour and sugar?" Willingly I gave them to her because she had been an excellent maid, and although we had a fair supply of the better foods from our foreign store, it did not enable us to part with as much as we would have liked. The next day was her free day. Every fifth day was free day for Tussah, but the government always sent another maid to replace her while she was gone.

It seemed strange that she did not leave her quarters, which were in the same house as ours, but had a private entrance. I could hear her through the walls fussing all day, and sending her two girls on errands, and, in general, the atmosphere appeared to be a busy one. Saturday she was remote and pensive. Sunday morning, while she served breakfast, her eyes were swollen and red. When my husband was gone, I went to the kitchen, but before I could ask her what was wrong, she threw herself about my neck sobbing, "Oh! I'm so afraid of what will happen. I never, never should have gone but something here (pointing to her heart) was hurting, something was urging me for more than week to go again to church." After she had calmed herself, I learned the whole story. She had gone to church in the darkness of the night, after the lights at the hospital, the jail, the O. G. P. U. office and at our home were extinguished. They were the only electric lights in the town. She did not fear for kerosene lamps that might be lighted, knowing that the lights were burned sparingly for lack of kerosene, which was used in the tractors where it was needed most. It was thus she stole skulkingly along the lanes till she came to church with her offering and entered it for the first time in many years.

Her fears were well founded. As a "partinee," one who belongs to the party, she had been placed with us as a maid to watch those who came and to hear our conversations. In other words, she was an agent of the O. G. P. U., and now her worst fears were what would become of her now that she, a member of the party, had gone to church again. Who might have seen her? What would happen to her children, to her who was their sole support?

When she had cried herself out, she said, "Knowing you has made me feel stronger, you have such courage, such undaunted belief and every day you do uncounted works of mercy." This alone was a full recompense for any hardship we might have endured. She did not go to church again but fear lurked unceasingly around the corner. She being in the informed as a party member, confided in me that soon the two churches in the

(Continued on Page 23)

Peace with Justice

Pope Pius XII Delivers Easter Message to Agitated World.

"When the arm of violence is substituted for the scepter of justice no one need marvel at the appearance on the horizon of dull flashes of war in place of the longed-for dawn of peace."

With these words His Holiness Pope Pius XII greeted the vast Easter congregation in St. Peter's basilica in Rome in a message of peace to the Christian world. His sermon dealt with the practicalities of the world's agitation and disorder, reiterating his former pleas for peace and justice.

Some highlights of the Pope's Easter message, as translated from the Latin by *Osservatore Romano*, are as follows:

The Divine Redeemer was, in the beautiful image of the Apostle Paul, the herald and bearer of peace: "He preached peace." Not a peace without conflict or battle but peace conquered through admirable struggle between life and death.

* * *

But unfortunately, in no time, perhaps, as in the days through which we are passing may the words of the prophet be applied: "They cried peace, peace and there was no peace!" If, in fact, we turn our gaze inward but a sad spectacle is presented us! There is abroad in the world a feeling of agitation and discontent; a fearful unbalanced forerunner of worse ills seems to reign in many regions; spirits are gripped with anxiety and dismay almost as though all were on the eve of worse days.

* * *

All this is very far from that serene and sure "tranquility with order" which constitutes true peace. And how may full and true peace be had while even sons of the same land, frequently forgetful of their common origin and common fatherland, are so profoundly divided by a burning and implacable struggle between parties and interests?

How may peace be had while so many thousands of men lack work—honest work which not only maintains life for individuals and their families but represents furthermore, a necessary and decorous explanation of the complex energies nature has exercised, study of which has endowed and honored the dignity of the human person?

* * *

How may peace be had if, unfortunately, even among nations there frequently is lacking the mutual comprehension which alone may encourage and impel peoples along luminous ways of civil progress, while pacts solemnly sanctioned and the plighted word have lost that security and value which are indispensable bases of reciprocal confidence and without which ardently desired disarmament, material and moral, becomes with each passing day less possible of realization?

* * *

Facing such a dangerous storm we earnestly exhort all to return to the King of Peace, to the Risen One from Whose lips we hear the consoling words, "Peace be to you." He will give us—as He promised—peace! His peace, that which the world cannot give, that which alone will calm every unrest, will dissipate every fear: "Peace I leave with you; My peace I give unto you; not as the world giveth do I give unto you. Let not your heart by troubled; nor let it be afraid."

* * *

But since external peace cannot be but a reflection of internal peace, it is necessary above all to think of peace of conscience; procure it if one does not have it; guard it and cultivate it if one has it.

* * *

Just as, in fact, there can be no peace without order so there can be no order without justice.

HIS HOLINESS POPE PIUS XII

Justice demands that legitimately constructed authority be respected and obeyed by its subjects: that laws be wisely made for the common good and conscientiously observed by all.

Justice requires that sacred rights of human liberty and dignity be recognized and guarded; that those goods and those riches which God has strewn in the world for the advantage of His sons be conveniently distributed.

Communists Gain Control of U. A. W. in Cleveland Meet; Plan Ford Drive

CLEVELAND, OHIO — Communists snatched official control of the C.I.O. United Automobile Workers Union last week when 500 delegates to the organization convention elected 12 Communist-supported candidates to the 19-member executive board.

Eight incumbents, five of whom were considered "conservatives" were ousted from office in the Red sweep which removed all doubt that the Union has been dominated from its beginning by agents and dupes of Soviet Russia.

Some of those elected still refused to admit any affiliation with the Communist Party, but others frankly admitted they were aided by Communist members and lobbying propagandists.

As the first step in the revitalized Red drive, the convention approved unanimously a resolution to organize Ford Motor Company employes.

It agreed to set up publicity and research departments for the purpose of "educating Ford employes in the advantages of U.A.W. membership."

Although the resolution failed to so state, this campaign of "education" doubtless will be under the direction of the Communist agitators who have been so successful in promoting wildcat strikes and fomenting violence in the automobile industry.

One phase of the "educational campaign" apparently will consist of boycotting the Ford Company and all Ford dealers.

Walter Reuther, a leftist who rates as a middle-of-the-roader in the extreme radical Red set-up, said, "I think that at a specific date sometime in the near future, in every major C.I.O. center in the country, we ought to throw picket lines around the establishment of every Ford dealer to emphasize our campaign and call it to public attention."

Illustrative of the Reds' determination to dominate the automobile industry by any means is the threat of Norman Smith, a St. Louis delegate.

"We'll either organize Ford or put him out of business," he bellowed from the convention floor. "Let's make this a cold-blooded proposition."

As an inducement to Ford workers the Union voted to reduce the initiation fee from $2 to $1. It also resolved to enforce collection of a $1 assessment from all U.A.W. members to finance the Ford campaign.

The Red-controlled union expects to gain entree to the Ford company by requesting Ford officials to meet with Ford employes and U.A.W. representatives. If that fails to obtain recognition, the Reds, judging by their belligerent attitude, will resort to other measures.

In all probability they will have occasion to test the efficacy of other measures, for it is extremely unlikely Ford executives will submit. Henry Ford's labor record indicates clearly his mistrust and antipathy for a Communist-dominated union which seeks to control his industrial empire.

To supplement the Ford drive the convention voted to start intensive organization of W.P.A. workers on the theory that many of them may later secure employment in Ford plants. There was opposition to the proposal, however. Some of the delegates were reluctant to compete in the relief field with the Communist-infested Workers' Alliance. They suggested the U.A.W. consult first with "other friendly organizations like the Workers' Alliance."

That the convention was not a complete flop was due to the whips of C.I.O. vice-presidents Philip Murray and Sidney Hillman, who were dispatched by Boss John L. Lewis to quell factional disputes which threatened to disrupt the organization entirely.

The Communist bloc, including U. A. W. vice-presidents Richard Frankensteen, Wyndham Mortimer and Ed Hall, fought vigorously the C.I.O. recommendation to abolish the offices of five vice-presidents. Red lobbyists also sought to prevent the election of R. J. Thomas as president. After much battling and name-calling, however, the Communists decided to capitulate on both issues, lie in wait and then make a concerted effort to grab control of the executive board which is more important anyway. This strategy they followed, and it worked perfectly.

During the verbal fireworks Walter Reuther, executive board member, accused Frankensteen of having played politics with the Ford organization drive and having sabotaged the drive by hiring "Martin hatchetmen" to wreck it.

Although the stormy 11-day convention adjourned with a show of harmony, it is evident that factionalism remains strong within the union.

—St. Louis Post-Dispatch

Lewis Delays Peace Talks Again; Unity Hopes Fade

NEW YORK, N. Y. — Prospects for a truce in labor's six-year-old civil war grow dimmer with each conference. Last week the eighth session of A.F.L. and C.I.O. negotiators brought an indefinite postponement "to some mutually satisfactorily date."

President John L. Lewis of the C.I.O. requested the delay on the plea that his negotiations with bituminous coal dealers on behalf of the United Mine Workers, which he also heads, precluded his attendance at peace conferences. His failure to reach an agreement with operators on renewal of the expired Mine Workers contract resulted last week in a complete shutdown of mines in the Appalachian area. Approximately 338,000 men were affected.

Many observers believe Lewis is playing a stalling game in accordance with his and President Roosevelt's plan to delay revision of the Wagner Labor Act. Until the pressure became too strong the Administration opposed Senate committee hearings on revision, asserting they would hinder progress of the labor peace discussions.

The only "progress" so far, however, has been the refusal of each faction to consider unity proposals.

A.F.L. representatives made it clear they were not responsible for the indefinite postponement of peace discussions. They said they were ready to resume negotiations whenever Lewis and the C.I.O. were ready.

One spokesman summed up the situation quite frankly. "Neither side," he said, "wants to be in a position of seeming to have given in to the other; both wish to appear to win."

In following this strategy they have reached a stalemate. It was reported that A.F.L. conferees proposed a thorough audit of both the Federation and the C.I.O. to determine the actual number of dues-paying members in each organization. The Federation claims approximately 3½-million paid up members. Huge defections in C.I.O. ranks in the last few months probably have reduced the Lewis organization to about 1-million members.

The battle between the two factions over the Wagner Act has not abated during the peace talks. Lewis, staunch defender of the present Act, has sought to recruit aid from A.F.L. locals to prevent revision.

William Green, Federation president, has retorted with caustic statements and letters to A.F.L. affiliates.

"It is strange indeed," he said recently, "that rival organizations which have spent millions in an attempt to destroy us, that have raided our unions, that have unlawfully appropriated our funds, that have villified our officers and slandered our membership, should suddenly appeal to our membership to oppose our amendments because of a noble and solicitous impulse to save the American Federation of Labor from destroying itself. Such hypocrisy should fall of its own weight."

The A.F.L., through Senator David I. Walsh of Massachusetts, has introduced amendments to the Wagner Act which would curtail drastically powers of the C.I.O.-minded National Labor Relations Board and would grant employers the rights of which they have been deprived by the present Act.

Uncle Sam Calls for 'Minute-Men'

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Uncle Sam says he doesn't intend to go to war, but just the same he is rounding up former enlisted men to act as "minute-men" ready for action at a moment's notice.

The War Department last week urged all ex-service men under 36 years of age to report to the nearest military base to join a corps of re-servists.

General Malin Craig, army chief of staff, told Congress that enlistments have increased rapidly in the last few weeks. Approximately 5,100 men had responded to earlier calls last January, he said. He expects about 12,000 more by July 1 and a total final enlistment of 150,000.

New Deal Grants No Crop Control Relief

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Crop control, that peculiar New Deal agricultural system which limits production of food and other necessities, will be retained at least another year.

Secretary of Agriculture Henry Wallace reiterated last week he prefers additional expenditures to any tampering with the present farm program.

It appears likely, however, he would compromise with the Congressional bloc demanding an appropriation increase of $300-million to $400-million for agriculture. President Roosevelt opposes the increase, but it is thought the Administration will concede it if House and Senate leaders who are urging it will drop their fight for revision of the farm program.

A cotton bill which may cost the Government as much as $75-million was passed last week by the Senate without a record vote. It provides that the Government sell back to farmers at five cents a pound, part of the 11-million bales it holds as security for loans. This would mean a Government loss of four or five cents a pound. Crop control also is a feature of the bill. It proposes that farmers buying loan cotton be required to curtail correspondingly their 1939 production.

$16,000 In Cash Ready for 135



Great Anti-War Contest in Third Week With Promptness Prize Expiration Not Far Off

ONE of the nice features of the SOCIAL JUSTICE *Anti-War Essay Contest* is that you can start any time with an equal chance to land in the big money. It is not like the circulation contest we recently concluded wherein there is an advantage in entering early so as to have the most time possible to work.

In fact, there is so little work connected with the *Anti-War Essay Contest* that the word "work" itself is hardly applicable. Writing a short essay on "What Should Be America's Attitude Towards Foreign War" cannot be classified as work. And, judging by the recent response of America to Father Coughlin's great campaign against foreign war entanglements, the obtaining of the necessary subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE should be a matter of an hour or so.

There is one important reason why, unless you have already done so, you should enter at once and get the job done. This reason has to do with earning some extra money as a contest winner.

Judging is Difficult

One of the hardest tasks in connection with a contest of this nature is the judging. Each submitted essay must be read, re-read and carefully analyzed by the judges. This takes time and relentless patience. In order to make this job easier an Extra Promptness Prize of $50.00 is offered to winners of all Grand, Second and Third Prizes who send in their essays and required subscriptions before midnight of Saturday, May 13. The official closing hour of the great Anti-War Contest is midnight of June 10.

The big question is—have you entered the Anti-War Contest yet? The way to do this is to fill in one of the Official Entry Forms on the fourth page of this contest section. Mail it at once. Immediately your Official Entry Form is received at our offices, you will be sent an Official Receipt Book to be used in obtaining the required subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. Now, there is no need of your being held up for a single hour in waiting for the Receipt Book. You can go right to work on your essay the moment you mail in your Official Entry Form. You will probably have it finished by the time your Receipt Book comes back. Meanwhile, you will have also lined up your friends who will help you to win by subscribing to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. Then, when your Receipt Book arrives, you simply "close up" your prospects, send in the stubs, your essay and your remittance of $6.00 IN THE SAME ENVELOPE and you are in line for fortune.

Pays to Be Prompt

Not only this, but you will qualify for an Extra Promptness Prize of $50.00 if your return is made before midnight of Saturday, May 13.

Read carefully the remainder of this 4-page section, especially the Contest Rules. Look over the prizes for each of the nine divisions. Remember, a contestant in one division is in no way in competition with contestants in any other division.

Sign up the Official Entry Form, send it in, go to work on your essay, and you're on your way.

Here Is a Competition for Every Family Member

The Anti-War Essay Contest affords an exceptional money-winning opportunity to every member of a family regardless of size.

Let's consider the Browns. There's Pa and Ma who can no longer qualify as school pupils. The place for them is in the All-American Division where they will be competing against other pas and mas. The Grand Prize in this classification is $1,500.00 and Pa and Ma Brown have as good a chance to win as any other brace of parents in the country.

Jane Brown is 18 and about to be graduated from high school. Jane can strike for the twelfth-grade prize of $1,000.00.

Bill is 15 and a "frosh." He has his own ninth-grade division and his own separate list of prizes to work for.

Betty, in the seventh grade, is 12. She doesn't need to worry about competition from Jane, Bill or other despicable upper-classmen of their ilk. She travels with her own Contest set, the seventh-grade crowd, and a swell bunch they are too.

Then there's Ted. He's only nine and in the fifth grade. These facts need not deter him from releasing a resonant snort in the direction of his brother and sisters. For has he not his own exclusive fifth-grade contest division, his own private topic and his own strictly personal prizes?

Yes, this great Anti-War Essay Contest is for the whole family—and for every family that reads SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Anti-War Essay Contest Might Start You On Career

Winning a big cash prize in the great Anti-War Essay Contest could very well mark the beginning of a literary career. Many successful authors can trace their rise to fame and wealth right back to the day when their names were called out as winners of school essay competitions.

Everybody thinks he can write—if he only had the time and the proper subject. And, truth is, everybody can write. Everybody cannot be a Sinclair Lewis, a Mary Roberts Rhinehart or a Father Owen Dudley. But the interesting fact is that nobody knows for sure he cannot be like one of these immortals.

The Anti-War Essay Contest of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine presents to you an opportunity which might never come again. As a prize-winner in this great nationwide competition, your essay will be published. It will be read by close to two million weekly readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE. Among these two million Americans, who knows but that some one person will be searching for the sort of talent you display? That's the way careers are started.

Of course, the matter of winning a Grand Prize of $500.00, $700.00, $1,000.00 or $1,500.00 is something else again. That does not pertain to the future, like careers and such, but is right here in the immediate present.

Well, you can win both cash and a career, as have many other Americans before you. Only they were never offered the incentive of large cash prizes for their efforts.

So, let's go.


H. Armstrong Roberts

IN AMERICA: *The summer outing is fun for the whole family.*

H. Armstrong Roberts

IN AMERICA: *Back yards are used for gardening.*

The Siren of War Calls, bu

PEACE

MATURE men of today who fought in the last war, will not fight in another. For war is a wicked siren whose passion calls to youth, youth, youth!

Those who learned the hypocrisy of war through bitter experience are never called upon again. They who learned the beastly folly of fighting a fellowman one has no reason to hate, will never answer another bugle call. They lie silent in their graves, maimed on hospital cots, or move through life grayed and bent.

It is upon youth—callow, blissful, unsuspecting youth—the burden of war falls. It is they who must strap packs to their shoulders and march away, many of them for the last time.

To the young, war has a certain romantic appeal. But its romance lies in the awful ignorance that surrounds its brutalities. The aged and wise, those who have felt the agony of a cold bayonet thrust into their warm breast, know the bitter truth . . .


H. Armstrong Roberts

IN AMERICA: *Boys build strong bodies at sport camps.*

WAR

Those who fought in the last war can give only advice this time. And their word of advice is loud and unanimous. "America must NEVER participate in another foreign war!"

And their advice is timely. The battlegrounds *now* are being cleared, the lines *now* are being drawn, the war-mongers *now* are doing their work, BUT YOUTH MUST NOT BE FOOLED!

America's future is in the hands of her youth—tomorrow's men and women. Should they suffer the blight of another war for a sham cause, America's future generations will be menaced. Those who would profit by war, the blood-bartering Internationalists, will crack forth with volley after volley of propaganda to ensnare this nation, but THEY MUST NOT PASS!

Let America's youth stand firm as a unified bulwark against participation in another shameful war of aggression. America MUST be preserved. Youth MUST be saved. The Internationalists MUST be turned back. Let America's first and last lines of defense be her own shores!

IN EUROPE: *The regimentation of youth starts at tender ages.*

ıt Youth Must Stand Fast!

IN EUROPE: *An outing often means fleeing from home.*

IN EUROPE: *Many back yards are converted into bomb-proof shelters.*

OFFICIAL TABLE of the CONTEST

	"All-American" Division for Adults	12th Grade	11th Grade	10th Grade	9th Grade	8th Grade	7th Grade	6th Grade	5th Grade
GRAND PRIZE	$1,500	$1,000	$800	$700	$600	$500	$500	$500	$500
2nd PRIZE	750	500	400	350	300	250	250	250	250
3rd PRIZE	500	300	250	210	200	150	150	150	150
4th PRIZE	Four prizes $100 each	Four prizes $80 each	Four prizes $60 each	Four prizes $50 each	Four prizes $40 each	Four prizes $30 each	Four prizes $30 each	Four prizes $25 each	Four prizes $25 each
5th PRIZE	Four prizes $80 each	Four prizes $70 each	Four prizes $40 each	Four prizes $30 each	Four prizes $25 each	Four prizes $25 each	Four prizes $25 each	Four prizes $20 each	Four prizes $20 each
6th PRIZE	Four prizes $70 each	Four prizes $50 each	Four prizes $30 each	Four prizes $25 each	Four prizes $20 each	Four prizes $10 each	Four prizes $10 each	Four prizes $10 each	Four prizes $10 each
Length of Essay	1,200 words or less	900 words or less	700 words or less	700 words or less	700 words or less	500 words or less	400 words or less	300 words or less	300 words or less
TOPIC	*"How to Keep America Out of War"*	*"Foreign Entanglements Lead to War"*	*"The Cost of War"*	*"Offensive Wars Settle Nothing"*	*"Offensive War Will Hurt America"*	*"I Don't Want War"*	*"Mothers Don't Want War"*	*"Daddies Shouldn't Have to go to War"*	*"Daddies Shouldn't Have to go to War"*

EXTRA PRIZE FOR PROMPTNESS

The Anti-War Contest is perhaps your greatest opportunity to combine educational qualifications with the chance to strike for real fortune in cash. The Contest opens today and will close at Midnight of Saturday, June 10. Within a few weeks thereafter $16,000.00 in cash awards will go to 135 winners.

BUT—

If you are prompt you can win MORE than the prizes shown for your classification in the Official Table of the Contest.

THERE IS AN EXTRA PRIZE OF $50.00 WHICH WILL BE AWARDED TO ALL WINNERS OF GRAND PRIZES, SECOND PRIZES OR THIRD PRIZES WHO SEND IN THEIR ESSAYS AND SUBSCRIPTIONS BEFORE MIDNIGHT OF SATURDAY, MAY 13. THIS EXTRA $50.00 WILL BE AWARDED OVER AND ABOVE THE PRIZES SHOWN IN THE TABLE.

Moral—enter NOW. Get to work on your essay. Line up your subscriptions—and WIN!

OFFICIAL ENTRY FORM

Anti-War Essay Contest of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine

.....1939

Father Charles E. Coughlin
Royal Oak, Michigan

I am today entering your SOCIAL JUSTICE Anti-War Essay Contest and I am sending in this Official Entry Form.

I understand that, in order to qualify for the cash prizes, I must write and submit an essay of proper length and on the topic allotted to my school grade or to the "All-American" division. I also understand that, in order to qualify, I must send in before the contest closes two yearly ($3.00 a year), four half-yearly ($1.50 for 6 mos.) or one yearly and two half-yearly subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine, and otherwise abide by the contest rules.

My Name Is.....

My Address Is.....

Town or City.....State.....

If not a school pupil but classified in "All-American" class, please put "X" here ☐

If a school pupil mark number of your grade here ☐

Name of School.....

Signature of Teacher or Principal.....

Extra Entry Form for Friend or Relative

OFFICIAL ENTRY FORM

Anti-War Essay Contest of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine

.....1939

Father Charles E. Coughlin
Royal Oak, Michigan

I am today entering your SOCIAL JUSTICE Anti-War Essay Contest and I am sending in this Official Entry Form.

I understand that, in order to qualify for the cash prizes, I must write and submit an essay of proper length and on the topic allotted to my school grade or to the "All-American" division. I also understand that, in order to qualify, I must send in before the contest closes two yearly ($3.00 a year), four half-yearly ($1.50 for 6 mos.) or one yearly and two half-yearly subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine, and otherwise abide by the contest rules.

My Name Is.....

My Address Is.....

Town or City.....State.....

If not a school pupil but classified in "All-American" class, please put "X" here ☐

If a school pupil mark number of your grade here ☐

Name of School.....

Signature of Teacher or Principal.....

HOW TO PROCEED AS A CONTESTANT

1. Fill out an "Official Entry Form" now. Mail it to Father Charles E. Coughlin, Royal Oak, Michigan. In the lower left hand corner of the envelope write: "Contest Dept." Immediately your entry form is received you will be sent an official subscription receipt book. You need no instructions beyond those contained in this Contest section of today's issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

2. Write your essay. You may take your time to do this so long as it arrives in our offices or is postmarked at or before Midnight of Saturday, June 10. But, it will PAY YOU WELL to hurry. There is a Promptness Prize of an extra $50.00 for every winner of a Grand, Second or Third Prize whose essay and subscriptions arrive in our offices or are mailed before Midnight of Saturday, May 13.

3. In order to qualify for the prizes you must obtain two yearly subscriptions ($3.00 per year each) or four half yearly subscriptions ($1.50 each) to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. These must also be in or mailed before Midnight of Saturday, May 13 in order to qualify you for the extra $50.00 for speed and promptness. One yearly and two half-yearly subscriptions will qualify you.

4. Study the Official Table of the Contest on this page. Be sure you write on the proper topic, according to your school grade. If you are not a school pupil, be sure your essay is written on the topic allotted to the "All-American" Division. Be sure your essay does not exceed the maximum number of words allotted for your division. If your essay is LESS than the allotted number of words, it will qualify. If it contains MORE words than the allotted number, it will not qualify. The topic of your essay, which you write across the top of the first page, DOES NOT COUNT as words of your essay.

5. At the bottom of the last page of your essay write your name, your address, the name of your school, your grade. If you are in the "All-American" classification, write your name, your address and the words "All-American."

6. Mail your essay and your subscription stubs, which will be sent to you immediately you enter, with remittance in the same envelope. Please do not enclose any other letter concerning other business you might wish to transact with Father Coughlin or SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. In the lower left corner of the envelope write: "Contest Dept."

7. READ THE RULES CAREFULLY. ENTER TODAY.

$3,750.00 FOR ADULTS

Remember—the "All-American" Division of the great Anti-War Contest is open to all adult Americans and Canadians who are NOT school pupils. This division is conducted altogether distinct and apart from the remainder of the competition. The prizes for adults are the highest in the Contest. Glance over the Official Table.

Anybody can win. It requires no high degree of education to romp off with the Grand Prize of $1,500.00 or any of the other 14 cash awards allotted to the "All-American" Division. The essays will be judged on grammar, spelling, clearness of thought and general development of the allotted subject. Hifalutin language will not count.

Enter now. Do your part to wake America to the dangers of foreign war-mongering. And WIN $1,500.00 in cash. READ THE RULES.

CONTEST RULES

1. The SOCIAL JUSTICE Anti-War Essay Contest is open to all residents of the United States and Canada except employes of Father Coughlin or SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine, or their immediate families. Persons of all races and religious denominations may compete.
2. The Contest shall open on Monday, April 3 and close at midnight on Saturday, June 10.
3. SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC. will pay 135 cash awards totaling $16,000.00 to pupils of public, private or parochial schools recognized by the Department of Education of any State or Province, and to adult persons, not school pupils, who submit the 135 best essays on topics allotted in the "Official Table of the Contest." This table will appear in every issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE during the life of the Anti-War Essay Contest and is completely official and authoritative as to prizes, topics, length of qualifying essays and classification of contestants.
4. The "Official Entry Form" must be used in entering the Contest. A school pupil must have his or her entry form signed by a teacher of his or her grade, or by the school principal.
5. Submitted essays will be judged by a Board of Contest Judges, of which Father Charles E. Coughlin will be Chairman. Each contestant agrees upon entering the Contest to abide by the decisions of the Board of Contest Judges as being final.
6. Competing school pupils shall be classified in grades from the fifth to the twelfth, inclusively. No pupil shall in any way be in competition with pupils in higher or lower grades.
7. The "All-American" Division of the Contest is open to all adult Americans or Canadians who are not school pupils. No "All-American" contestant shall be, in any way, in competition with school pupils or vice versa.
8. Submitted essays shall be in English and shall be judged on a basis of sentence structure, spelling, grammar, clearness of thought and general development of the subject. They may be typewritten or handwritten by pen or pencil. Neatness or the quality of handwriting will not count in judging. No more than four quotations may be used in an essay. A quotation must not be longer than 20 words. Quotation marks do not count as words.
9. All submitted essays shall become the property of SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC. and shall not be returned to contestants.
10. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, each contestant must send in during the life of the Contest two yearly subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE at $3.00 each, four half-yearly subscriptions at $1.50 each, or one yearly and two half-yearly subscriptions. These qualifying subscriptions may be either new, or renewals from present subscribers. Subscriptions and remittances MUST be sent in the same envelope with essay.
11. SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC. cannot be responsible for essays or remittances arriving late or lost in the mails.
12. A contestant may submit any number of duly qualified essays, but each must be supported by two yearly, four half-yearly or one yearly and two half-yearly subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. No contestant may win more than one prize.

Calls Father Coughlin's Attacks on Communism an 'Insult to Hero Dead!'

THAT SNAPPING PACK of Soviet-loving Jews is off again on one of its most unpleasant pastimes—maligning Father Coughlin without regard for truth, and lying about his teachings.

The latest fulmination occurs in the March issue of *Nailebn*, the masthead of which states it is a magazine published monthly "by the Icor, Association for Jewish colonization in the Soviet Union." (Its former claim to being the most important Jewish paper in the world has been revised since SOCIAL JUSTICE took note of it.)

In a foul article entitled, "Father Coughlin, Take a Lesson in Democracy!" the author, one S. Almazov, pretends, first of all, to know what democracy is. He doubtless does know—in a Red sort of way.

After reviewing facts that no one, least of all Father Coughlin, has ever denied, namely that America is composed of heterogeneous groups who have fought and died for its preservation, Comrade Almazov hits a new high in deliberate misrepresentation. He accuses Father Coughlin of disparaging dead war heroes!

Dead War Heroes Not Communists

"There are in the fields of Flanders the graves of Jew and Gentile, white and colored," says the Red Mr. Almazov in straying far from his subject. He follows up with another fact as irrelevant as it is true. And unquestionably it is true. "There lie buried the sons of people who speak many tongues but whose hearts beat as one for their beloved land."

Then a strange mental contortion leads him to this base canard in the form of a question: "Why then should anyone fall so low as to try to besmirch the memory of those who gave their lives to preserve America as a country of equal peoples by trying to sow the seeds of national discord and animosity?"

If the Red Mr. Almazov can show any sane reader how an attack against godless war-mongering Internationalists and Communists is an effort to besmirch the memory of America's dead war heroes, then the Red man must be credited with unnatural powers of persuasion. At present he seems to be gifted only with unnatural reasoning, a debilitated intellect and a Satanical faculty for distortion.

It is not difficult, however, to understand why the Red man and the Red magazine for which he fumes, should be so fanatical on the Father Coughlin issue. Father Coughlin's darts have struck their mark. He has condemned atheistic Communists (including Jews), as a threat to America and to Christianity. Red Mr. Almazov obviously is a Jewish Communist and the magazine, *Nailebn*, by its own admission is dedicated to Jewish colonization in Soviet Russia.

Being so dedicated they qualify themselves as 100 per cent Americans who know all about democracy. Well—Red democracy, anyway.

Why Jewish Press Resents Father Coughlin

They are offended deeply because Father Coughlin has exposed them.

Characteristic is the following: "Father Coughlin condemns all Jews by a method of subtle insinuations, slander and ridicule. *He even goes so far in his Jew-hatred as to defend the murderous policies of the barbaric Nazi government.*"

There is as perfect a piece of devilry as can be found this side of Hades. Red Mr. Almazov surely is not so crass as to believe his own statement. Anyone familiar with Father Coughlin's radio addresses or his writings knows he never has "condemned Jews." He has, on the other hand, invited religious Jews to unite with other honest Americans against Communism. But Comrade Almazov chooses to twist facts. Likewise, he his misrepresented Father Coughlin's position on Nazi Germany.

That Father Coughlin has assailed Nazism as well as Communism, and that he continues to do so, the Red Mr. Almazov boldly denies. This excellent 100 per cent American—who happens to be affiliated with a Soviet movement—dips his brush deeply into red paint and sloshes the canvas with a typical insolent red smear to conclude his truthless depicting of Communist propaganda.

Writers Join in Hymn of Hate

"It is high time," he spouts, "that Father Coughlin should be told that in polluting the air with his un-American anti-Semitic ravings he is sowing the seeds of discord, that he is giving aid and comfort to Nazism and is violating every tenet of decency, liberty and democracy. Is Mr. Coughlin still in a position to learn, or has he already gone so far in his aspirations to Fuehrerhood that he has become so thick-skulled not to be able to realize that the healthy instinct and the good judgment of the freedom-loving Americans will reject him as a dangerous virus, and will fumigate the air to clear it of Coughlin's pollutions . . . Mr. Peddler of Hate, take a lesson in democracy!"

The nice, Red, atheistic "lesson" that you have learned so well, Comrade?

Another smear article in the same issue of *Nailebn* rants for two pages in the same fashion as does Comrade Almazov. Its author, Nathan Farber, is equally as dim-witted, malicious and Communistic as Comrade Almazov.

Nathan complains, among other things, that Father Coughlin's radio talks eventually will destroy freedom of speech in America.

This doesn't make sense, you say? Certainly it doesn't. But it's Red reasoning.

What Nathan really meant, no doubt, is that Father Coughlin's radio addresses, eventually might destroy Communism in America.

The Jewish Problem —and a Solution

(Continued from Page 7)

additional burden of paying the salary of the directors and staff, as well as the office expenses of the agency —should finance the whole organization end of the scheme for ten years.

I then would suggest that a census be taken of the Jews in Pan-Germany, Lithuania, Poland, Hungary and Roumania, together with their occupations and individual wealth. Every country concerned would be asked to state what percentage of money it could afford to allow the Jews to take away with them in sterling. The remainder, I suggest, should be left, including the monetary value of immovable goods as they came to be liquidated, in the home currency in the form of a blocked account to be placed at the eventual disposal of the new government of Northern Rhodesia, against which the latter would order, as required, capital goods for the equipment of the new country.

These orders would be very valuable and would offer an inducement to the nations concerned to co-operate wholeheartedly, to facilitate the scheme and to afford some really important direct financial help towards the transfer of the Jewish populations. They should be asked, for example, to undertake the safe conduct of the emigrants, together with their movable goods, to the ships, free on board, at the nearest suitable ports in accordance with a prepared time table, and to provide part of the shipping on their own ships—thus obviating currency difficulties—and to provide a large number of certain other facilities as far as the coast of Africa, such as personal equipment, catering, transport and Red Cross services.

If we represent the total monetary value of the blocked accounts, the agency would thereupon issue a world appeal both to wealthy Jews in the British Empire, France and America, and to the charity of Christians generally, to subscribe the same amount (regarded as a limit to aim at) as a gift to the emigrants, each of whom would be accordingly entitled to receive in the form of a bank credit in Rhodesia a certain percentage of the amount left behind by him in the blocked account. In addition, each Jewish family would have its percentage of wealth to take with it on board ship in the form of sterling travellers' cheques, the percentage to be accorded on a graduated scale so as to permit the poorer Jews a larger percentage than the richer.

Publicity Should Guarantee Success

I am confident that the response to the appeal would be a magnificent one. The Jews are a generous people and the publicity which the appeal would receive ought to guarantee its success. And although the total subscribed can hardly be expected to approach the amount left behind, it should be sufficient to provide each family with a decent start.

These provisions would — together with a series of loans to the new Rhodesia on strictly business principles negotiated through the Bank of International Settlements—be sufficient, in my opinion, to finance the scheme and insure the successful launching of the new State.

It probably would take from ten to fifteen years to accomplish the task—years of passionate, well worthwhile work.

Most of the Jews affected talk Yiddish. They should not prove to be too heterogeneous. The agency would have to enlist aid of persons familiar with conditions in Northern Rhodesia, as well as persons experienced in the Nansen Commission which organized the exchange of the Turkish and Greek populations. They would also seek assistance of experienced organizers from the Zionist movement, as well as persons familiar with the Jewish people and their needs in the countries of Central and Eastern Europe concerned.

Many of the Polish Jews are expert agriculturists. It is, therefore, not true that the populations concerned are purely urban. Nor is it true, as Palestine has proved, that the Jew does not make a good farmer. Jews can be made into very good farmers indeed. Nevertheless some of the first emigrants undoubtedly would be required to form large agricultural training centers in their new country. A leaf, too, could be taken from the Italian example of mass emigration. Subsistence farming on a co-operative basis under central expert management is a way by which even half-trained farmers can be settled on the land with success, especially if experienced agriculturists were mingled with the less experienced. On the Italian model it would cost about $1250 to move one family. If we reckon on twice this sum we should be able to calculate the limits of the total cost. In Northern Rhodesia there is also a native population of farm workers who undoubtedly could help out the problem on special lands granted to Jews with expert overseers.

There are a number of other important points which might be mentioned. There are the mines to be considered, for example. There are many Jews in Eastern Europe who have had mining experience, from engineer and manager to simple workmen. Mining could be made a flywheel for the financial and economic success of the scheme. There is no reason to suppose that the new State could not be given a well-balanced economy, more so than that of Australia where the urban population is out of proportion to the rural, and despite which, Australia is a success.

To conclude, it really is a question of finance and organization. I believe on the above basis the finance would be forthcoming, while organization depends mainly on the choice of the organizers and on granting them ample authority with strong government backing. The thing undoubtedly could be done. It *ought* to be done.

The total of the populations involved by the scheme may be put at about 6,000,000—say, 1,000,000 families. If the task of resettling these people is a heavy one, it is one which would arouse enthusiasm and result in untold benefit, not only to the Jews and to the countries from which they would be taken, but also to the whole world. It would enrich the British Empire and probably do more to promote peace in Europe than anything else that can be conceived. Sponsored by England it would cement Anglo-American friendship. It would be a glory for our civilization and an achievement that would fill us all with new hopes and confidence for the future of civilized mankind.

BEHIND THE NEWS

(Continued from Page 3)

justifies this measure on the ground that Germany has violated the Versailles treaty.

Mr. Welles Lacks Knowledge of History

The United States Senate, however, never ratified the treaty, refusing to do so *because ratification would involve America in foreign entanglements.* In 1920 the American people endorsed the Senate's action by repudiating the World Court and the League of Nations.

Mr. Welles, nevertheless, apparently proceeds on the assumption that the United States ratified the treaty, accepted its obligations and guaranteed its sanctions.

The banking clique reminds America that the Reich will not pay the war debts incurred by nations it has assimilated. Very true, and equally regrettable. But Germany is not alone in this respect. The nations whom America saved from defeat in the last war—and whom she now is preparing to aid with men, munitions and money in the next war — also have repudiated their American debts. The vicious propaganda machine which seeks to sway America as it did in 1917 would have us forget that.

With its flag-waving in the name of "democracy" it would have us forget too, that America has no reason to be interested in maintaining the status quo of a map of Europe made over ruthlessly by vindicative victors at Versailles.

N. Y. Editor Smashes Alien Propagandists

NEW YORK, N. Y.—The United States has no more valid reason for going to war with the Fascist countries over broken agreements, than it has for fighting the so-called "democratic" countries who have not paid their debts, according to Patrick F. Scanlan, editor of the *Brooklyn Tablet*.

Mr. Scanlan, whose paper is the official publication of the Brooklyn Roman Catholic Diocese, spoke before an assembly of the Holy Name Society of St. Joan of Arc Parish, Queens.

Warning his audience that the present foreign policy of the United States may lead America into war, Mr. Scanlan said "if we're going to fight over broken agreements, why not fight some of the so-called democratic countries who have not paid their debts?"

He pointed out that the American public is being peppered with propaganda designed to pave the way for an American alliance with war-threatened "democracies" of Europe.

Alien Propagandists Impose on America

Dr. Eduard Benes, former president of Czecho-Slovakia, Jan Masaryk, former Czech minister to England, Leon Blum, former premier of France, and Anthony Eden, former foreign secretary of Great Britain are some of the propagandists who have been trying to impose their will on the people of the United States, Mr. Scanlan charged.

"All of them are telling us our duty. What right have they to tell us what to do?" he asked.

Speaking at the same meeting, the Rev. Edward Lodge Curran, president of the International Catholic Truth Society, said, "we shall fight to the last drop of blood against any nation that invades the continental or insular boundaries of the United States or its possessions, but we shall not fight for foreign causes on foreign battlefields. We shall not fight for welcher nations."

Communists in League With War-Mongers

At a Catholic Layman's League meeting last fortnight, Scanlan asserted that "Communist, international and war-mongering forces" are at work through propaganda to involve America as an ally of England, France and Communistic Russia in a war against Germany and Italy.

Communists know, he said, that if they can drag the United States into another European war, they can attain their ideal — a dictatorship, which would crush Christianity and freedom.

He emphasized that propaganda was responsible for America's entrance into the World War and that history is repeating itself.

The present European troubles, he said, can be traced directly to the last war and the Treaty of Versailles, which was written in a spirit of hatred with no consideration for justice, religion or God's laws.

He told the audience the only hope for peace and happiness in America was for Catholics and other God-fearing people, through prayer, example and work, to strive for peace and social justice.

The Rev. Joseph McLaughlin, Forest Hills pastor, lauded Father Coughlin for his efforts on behalf of Christianity and America.

'No Such Animal,' Says Baruch of U.S. Neutrality; Denies He's 'Banker'

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Bernard M. Baruch, international financier and "unofficial president" of the United States who has been actively interested in selling armaments to the war-makers for more than two decades, scoffs at the idea of neutrality for the United States in any pending war.

Baruch, who appeared before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, responded to questioning by Senator William E. Borah by snorting *"Neutrality —there ain't no such animal!"*

In contradictory fashion Baruch asserted first that America should sell war implements to all nations on a cash-and-carry basis, and then stated that American participation in a coming war would be unnecessary. The international financier, noted as one of President Roosevelt's ear-whisperers, acknowledged that the cash-and-carry system for armament sales *would favor "some" nations* at the expense of others. He defended this position on the matter by stating that we are not "required to adjust all inequalities of that sort."

Behind U. S. Arms Agitation

Baruch is the man behind the present armament race in the United States. He returned from a tour of Europe last fall and thumped the administration barrel for $7-billion worth of munitions. He protested this vast expenditure was necessary because of the threat of South American invasion by Hitler's Nazis.

Baruch fits nicely into the war-breeding program of President Roosevelt and the New Dealers. First by faking a war threat, and then by encouraging the spark thus kindled, Baruch hopes to complete his vision of an invincible American armada on the seas, and a Hitler-like military machine on land.

From this basis it may be seen that Baruch's loud denials of any danger of American involvement in a coming war are just a brash sales talk, intended to break down Congressional resistance against a repetition of the tragedy of America's part in the World War, in which Mr. Baruch played a most prominent part.

The "unofficial president's disclaimers of war-danger are shown in their true light when he suggests an "attitude" for America to assume in the crisis between the so-called democracies, and the so-called aggressors. On the one hand he insists it is unnecessary for America to go to war, and on the other he suggests that the nation *avoid ignoring "faithlessness and brutality" on the part of other nations.*

Baruch Not Banker— He's a 'Financier'

In other words, Baruch believes we should tax the nation to pay for the greatest military machine in the world, and once it is constructed, assume the role of policeman for the two hemispheres. If war should result from such a shortsighted, war-mongering policy, then it would be most unfortunate for those killed, but most fortunate for the arms-makers and dealers.

Interesting sidelight on the Baruch appearance before the senate committee was his vehement disclaimer that he was an "international banker."

Senator Key Pittman, a leader of the go-to-war forces, asked the white-haired Baruch if the "rumors" he was an international banker were true. Clearing his throat and arising to his feet, Baruch said solemnly: "I am not and never have been a banker, or an international banker, or had any relations with them."

Baruch is not a banker — he's a financier, but the difference is one of degree and not of kind.

WAR ANYTIME NOW!

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Bernard M. Baruch's plan for an American chip-on-the-shoulder war machine is being realized.

Col. Louis A. Johnson, Assistant Secretary of War, announced at a "National Industrial Preparedness" meeting here that industry in the United States now is "substantially mobilized," and that the War Department has been given assurance that all materials necessary *to prosecute a major war can be provided.*

In other words, Mr. Baruch's war can start anytime now!

Congress Threatens N.L.R.B. Investigation

WASHINGTON, D. C.—A Congressional investigation of the National Labor Relations Board that might result in a national scandal was threatened here last week by Representative Eugene E. Cox, of Georgia.

Representative Cox, emphasizing the need for amendment of the Wagner Act, and its executive agency the N.L.R.B., warned the Administration to "clean house" in the N.L.R.B. He added it would be possible to force a Congressional investigation unless needed changes were made in the Act.

Cox charged that the House Labor Committee, headed by Rep. Mary T. Norton of New Jersey, has delayed amendment legislation in the hope that an early adjournment of Congress would make changes impossible.

Leaving it up to the Labor Committee, Cox said unless action were taken immediately, the Rules Committee would report out a resolution for the investigation.

Congressmen Ponder F.D.R. War Statement

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Members of the Senate and House of Representatives this week pondered one of the most serious statements made by President Roosevelt in the seven years of his New Deal Administration.

The thought-provoking remark, delivered by the Chief Executive as he left Warm Springs, Ga., on Easter Sunday, was: *"I'll be back in the fall if we don't have a war."*

Although the President, who becomes commander-in-chief of the Army and Navy in time of United States' war-involvement, failed to amplify his remark, observers expressed belief that Mr. Roosevelt frankly expects war in Europe. *Whether he likewise expects participation in it by this country is a matter of speculation.*

The remark called to mind the national fear that resulted from the President's secret diplomacy sessions early in February, when the crackup of a bombing plane on the west coast pointed to a French secret plane deal.

At that time the President was accused of stating that America's "frontier lies in France." Although he denied this vehemently, Senators attending the sessions—bound to secrecy by the President—said the nation "would be deeply shocked" if it knew what went on at the meeting.

The Prizefighter and the 'Prize'

—*Catholic Daily Tribune*

World-Savers Ponder on 'U. S. W.'

POINTING THE WAY TO RECOVERY

Dictators Would Fall, Happiness Abound Under Plan, Some Believe

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Mr. Clarence K. Streit, *New York Times* correspondent who covered the League of Nations for several years, has tickled the academic funny-bones of the world-savers with his book, *Union Now*.

The burden of Mr. Streit's effort is to do another job of making the world safe for democracy, and he would start just about at the point where misguided Woodrow Wilson left off.

Mr. Streit's proposal is to lump the British Empire, France, Ireland, Belgium, The Netherlands, Switzerland, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Finland and the United States of America into one, giant, sprawling nation—a "United States of the World," as it were.

Don't leave yet—just a minute until we get some more explanation down on paper.

Could Trim Dictators Very Easily

This giant, sprawling nation would lay the world's problems at a single blow. It would fleece the dictators without half trying; would save democracy" — the kind enjoyed by Britain and France where a select group of "democrats" rules a much less fortunate group of subjects, as well as the more orthodox kind to be found in the United States of America —and would make everybody happy.

The United States of the World, or for convenience, the "U.S.W.", would have a unified, common navy, common army, common money system, common communications system and common postal system. Furthermore, most of the world's resources and wealth would be concentrated in its collective hands, and it could tell the nations outside the union where they could go.

The bad nations, those who unfortunately have conducted their programs of aggression and expansion in the present era — Germany, Italy, Japan—instead of in some past era as did the British Empire, France and the United States, would be soundly spanked if they talked out of turn.

That they might not have sufficient economic resource to continue national existence would be no concern of the U.S.W. It would teach them a lesson. And when they came to the high governing council of the U.S.W., crocodile tears in their eyes, begging for a crust of bread, they could be turned away coldly and told: "go eat your aggression."

However, all is not lost. If nations tired of single life and wished to join the U.S.W., they could make application for admittance to this clubby little organization composed of some 15-odd nations and several hundred million people, and after making a firm act of contrition could be voted on by the high governing council.

Obviously the U.S.W. is patterned after the U.S.A., which has made something of a success of its experiment in amalgamating rival territories into one, unified whole. But Mr. Streit may have been lulled into a false belief in the soundness of his plan by aping it too closely after the example afforded by the good old U.S.A.

Should Have New Deal To Be Authentic

If it were to be a thorough-going United States, it would have to have a New Deal. And there might not be enough Roosevelts, Ickes, Hopkinses, Barkleys, John L. Lewises, and the like, to staff such a political organization on such a vast scale. Then the U.S.W. would have to establish its own W.P.A. if it were to do a good job of aping the present United States. And there might be a conflict when the shovels were passed around, for it's unlikely the Swedes, Finns, Swiss and some others would take kindly to boondoggling.

The U.S.W. would have to have its democracy threatened by a blanket of reeking Reds who insisted that their butchering democracy was better than the kind mentioned in the history books, because it got things done faster and was more favorable to the proletariat that survived the butchering process.

There perhaps wouldn't be much of a squabble on this score, however, for the Reds would be in on the beginning of such a maneuver and very likely would dominate it from the first.

Mr. Streit's U.S.W. would have a constitution, a legislature and all the works. The representation wouldn't be so good, however, for there'd be but one member in the House of Deputies for every ½-million inhabitants of the particular land represented. Also, there would be a minimum of two Senators from each nation in the U.S.W. with an additional allowance of two more for each 25-million citizens, up to 100-million.

Might Have Louis Fight Max Schmeling

This system would give the United States of America a dominant voice in the proceedings, and that might be all right except that Mr. Washington—the man who started this country, if you can remember at this late date in history—said something about "no foreign entanglements."

It is unlikely that the dictators are much concerned about Mr. Streit's plan being put into effect before Thursday, but if they are, they probably will begin a union of their own, a United States of the Universe. Then the balance of power will be restored, and if things get out of hand there won't be any need for secret diplomacy. The U.S.W. can simply declare war on the U.S.U., or vice-versa, and the two can send their armies off into the Gobi desert to fight it out, and the winner can drag the loser back.

Or maybe it could all be simplified even more by having Joe Louis fight Max Schmeling again in the name of democracy and the commonweal, the winner to get the heavyweight championship and the speakership in the U.S.W. Senate.

Maybe Mr. Streit has been around the League of Nations too long!

Ignorance of Silver Found Appalling in U. S. Treasury

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Silver seems to be the subject most people know least about in the National Capital here.

Consider Henry Morgenthau, Jr. for instance. Henry's Secretary of the Treasury, and if anyone should know about silver, it should be Henry, or at least his secretary.

But, not so. Recently representatives of the House Appropriations committee approached Henry and asked him if the Treasury were purchasing silver from Mexico. It would be all right if the Treasury were, of course, except that the monthly quota arrangement on the purchase of Mexico's valuable metal was canceled one year ago. Now then, Henry told the committee the Treasury keeps no record of purchases made of the output of Mexico's mines.

Speaking of the Government's silver purchases, Henry says, "*we don't know whether it is Mexican silver, Peruvian silver, or Chinese silver.*" He added that it is offered by the brokers in New York, and purchased by the Government, and Henry neither knows nor cares where it comes from.

But that's not the most glaring example of official ignorance on the subject of silver. Some time ago representatives of the Cuban government came to Washington. They were seeking a loan to buy some silver, intending to use the silver thus obtained as a cover for Cuban currency.

The State Department sent the Cubans over to the Export-Import Bank, and the Bank officials received them courteously enough. The loan was negotiated by the Export-Import officials, who pounded through what they thought to be a good deal. They insisted that "we do the purchasing of the silver." Okay by the Cubans.

After the Cubans left, one of the officials said to the others: "Does anyone here know how one goes about purchasing silver?"

Not a man stirred. No one knew how to buy silver, or where. One man spoke. "Let's try the Treasury," he suggested.

That seemed to be a good idea, or a good excuse. The delegation moved over to the Treasury Department. "We've just arranged to lend Cuba $$$$ of silver," one remarked, "now how does one buy silver?"

"Personally, we don't know," a high Treasury official remarked, "but we're sure that Mr. X does. Let's find Mr. X."

So they sought out Mr. X; asked him the question: "Mr. X, how does one go about buying silver?"

"Simple enough," Mr. X replied. "You merely get the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to buy it for you."

Thus they approached the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, and the F.R.B.N.Y. indicated its willingness to complete the deal. They left it in the hands of the F.R.B.N.Y. bankers.

Some time later, one of the Export-Import Bank officials, wondering how the matter was coming, called up the F.R.B.N.Y. to find out what progress had been made.

"Everything's okay," was the reply, "we turned the matter over to Blank Blank Bank here—they've had a lot of experience."

Then the Export-Import Bank official blew up.

"Good grief!" he shouted explosively, "the only reason the Cubans came to us originally was because they didn't want to do the job through that bank!"

No sir, nobody seems to know anything about silver here in the National Capital.

EYES *and* EARS

OVER WASHINGTON

by Mark Meecham

Morgenthau Asks Gold Act Renewal

THE HOUSE COINAGE committee has approved H.R. 3325, the Somers bill to extend for two years the time within which the powers relating to the stabilization fund and alteration of the gold content of the dollar may be exercised.

It is the Congressional first-step in answering Secretary of the Treasury Morgenthau's request to continue the $2-billion fund, which otherwise would lapse on June 30.

The stabilization fund dates back five years to the Gold Act of 1934. By that act the Government nationalized all of the country's gold, purchasing it at the rate of $20.67 an ounce. Then they raised the price from $20.67 to $35 an ounce. Since the value of gold was raised almost 70 per cent, the gold content of the dollar was automatically reduced. A dollar that was worth 70 cents when gold cost $20.67 an ounce, became worth only 59 cents in gold on January 31, 1934, under the higher gold values.

* * *

The Act netted the United States Treasury a "revaluation profit" of $2,800,000, of which $2-billion was set aside to become what we now know as the Stabilization Fund. The life of the fund was to be two years, with permission for the President to extend it for an additional year by proclamation, should he so desire. The President extended it for another year, following which Congress, at the request of the administration, extended it for two years more.

The act, which should expire on June 30, 1939, makes the Secretary of the Treasury sole custodian of the fund, and accountable to no one but the President of the United States. It also gives the President power to reduce the gold content of the dollar to as low as 50 cents.

* * *

Money Used to Help 'Gold' Nations Only

The Stabilization Fund is little concerned with people or affairs within the United States — a fact which nobody in Washington attempts any longer to deny. It is one of the most important tools used in conducting our foreign relations. It is used by the Secretary of the Treasury to buy and sell foreign money. Its real purpose—as it now operates—is to bolster up or "stabilize" the currency of foreign nations. Of course the $2-billion American stabilization fund is not used to help all nations. It is only used to help the "democracies" which incidentally, also happen to be *the only nations using gold as the basis of their international exchange.*

The manipulators of our stabilization fund have the power to raise and lower living costs in other nations, thus exercising a stranglehold control over their internal economic affairs. Improperly used, *it could enkindle foreign animosity against us second only to the invasion of our army.*

* * *

Secretary Morgenthau admitted, in asking for the fund's continuation, that he wants it in the event of a "recurrence of international crises."

The fund with its accompanying devaluation power, said he, "is a *weapon in reserve* which is needed for the protection of American interests. *It is as important as a powerful navy in the field of defense against armed attack.*"

It is all very well for Mr. Morgenthau to plead for his powerful weapon of defense. But knowing Mr. Morgenthau's sympathetic attitude towards the "democracies"—or gold-holding nations—it is not too much to ask for some assurance that this "weapon in reserve," this 'powerful navy in the field of *defense*" will not be used in the field of *aggression*, should the protection of "American Interests" seem to demand it.

* * *

New Deal Denies It Plans Devaluation

Recent occurrences in the capital have not made Mr. Morgenthau's $2-billion renewal goal any easier of attainment. For one thing, the rumor that President Roosevelt is planning to devaluate the dollar further has caused concern in economic circles and even has evoked a New Deal denial.

"The administration has not," an official said, "and is not seriously considering further devaluation. Extension of the power to devalue further would simply provide the Government with an emergency weapon in dealing with any attempts that might be made abroad to seek monetary advantages."

Then there was the growing European fear of what the United States would do next, which prompted Senator Lodge of Massachusetts to introduce his resolution for public accounting of Mr. Morgenthau's $2-billion secret stewardship. Fifty-six members of the Economists' National Committee on Monetary Policy supported the resolution with the further demand that "Congress investigate operations of this fund and the desirability of its continuation, that it require the operators of the fund to make periodic reports to Congress hereafter, and that it determine whether such reports should not be made public."

* * *

Official Warns Senate Committee

Neither did the testimony of Treasury official Harry D. White before the Senate sub-committee shed any light on our $2-billion manipulations. White offered no explanations, but said he was sure that world conditions would force a devaluation of U.S. currency if Congress curtailed the money powers of the President and tried to handle them itself.

If Congress considered devaluation, he continued, then gold and capital would leave the country, speculators would create "tremendous pressure" against the dollar, and Congress "would be forced to devalue, the thing it was trying to avoid."

To the official's threat, Senator Taft of Ohio suggested that perhaps devaluation ought to involve "the most careful consideration of all factors" and contended that Congress, rather than the Executive department, should make the investigation. White's denial that a Congressional committee should hold hearings on "such a complex question as international monetary relations" was emphatic.

* * *

However, the thing which should and *did* cause the gravest suspicions of the New Dealers' motives, was President Roosevelt's recommendation that the act be extended only until January 15, 1941, instead of for two entire years. This would cause it to expire just five days before the inauguration of Mr. Roosevelt's successor. Thus it could, if its manipulators were so disposed, be operated to create an international financial upheaval, as well as a first class domestic money problem for the next administration.

While no one in Washington would even suggest that Mr. Roosevelt might be prompted by unholy motives, nevertheless his request that the powers in the act terminate with his own authority, cannot but evoke some question in politicians' minds. They still remember the tricky dealings of Mr. Roosevelt's Wisconsin friend, ex-Governor LaFollette on his way out of office.

* * *

Secret Fund Hinders American Neutrality

Obviously America is the balance of power in the next European war. The nations across the Atlantic are aligning and unaligning themselves with one another, yet all the time they have their diplomatic ears cocked for whispers from Uncle Sam. And all the while they are learning that "neutral" America's sympathy is with gold.

This is no time to let partial statesmen, hostile to neutrality, tamper secretly with the $2-billion and the power which that amount of money can buy—if the United States wants to stay out of the percolating foreign picture, that is. It is pointless for Congressmen to talk "neutrality" if they are going to continue our $2-billion stake in European unrest.

The Stabilization Fund has not yet been extended. Following House passage, the Somers bill must go to the Senate committee and then to the Senate for approval.

It might not make Mr. Roosevelt happy for Congress to present him with the problem he is saving for his successor, but it would greatly alleviate our danger of becoming entangled with European States. For even New Deal advocates must realize by this time, that it takes more than a neutrality act to keep a war-minded administration neutral.

Capitol Prepares for Neutrality and War

Senate Plans Public Hearings on Neutrality

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Discounting the request of Senators Alben Barkley of Kentucky and Key Pittman of Nevada, to hold private hearings on the proposed neutrality measures, the Senate foreign relations committee has decided to let the public know about it too. The two New Deal Senators, arguing that public hearings might disturb the international situation, pointed out that it was the patriotic duty of Senators to suppress their objections to the New Deal foreign policy, lest they encourage the European dictatorships.

However, the Foreign Affairs committee, of which Pittman is chairman, voted not only to hold open hearings, but voted to open the public hearings at once.

Twice before, Democratic administrations have had their foreign policies rejected because of public hearings held by the Senate. The Roosevelt World Court proposal of 1935 might have gone through, had it not been for public attention drawn to the bill. Similarly the Senate defeated President Wilson's League of Nations policy in 1919.

Administration Senators are afraid that public discussion of the Roosevelt policy at this time, would effect another administration defeat.

War Spending May Be Delayed Until July

WASHINGTON, D. C.—While the Senate Foreign Relations committee has been discussing Neutrality and "peace" legislation, the War Department has been preparing for action.

The $50-million, which became available immediately upon the President's signature of the $513-million War Department appropriation bill, will be spent as soon as possible for airplane contracts, according to the War Department.

That may not be for some time, however, if the present law requiring the purchase of aircraft by competitive bidding, remains unchanged. According to the law, the department must wait 90 days after advertising for bids before opening the bidding. The advertisement was placed on March 6.

The purpose of the $50-million emergency fund in the bill, was to speed the proposed military expansion program.

'Open House' for Aliens Is Closed

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The League for Peace and Democracy went into a tantrum recently when Congress passed the Dempsey bill making deportable all aliens who advocate any change in our form of government, for great numbers of the League's membership will be affected by the statute.

For the second time in two weeks, the House has shown decisively that the United States is not the open house for undesirable aliens that they would like it to be. By unanimous consent, and with amazing speed, the Judiciary Committee approved the Hobbs bill to hold aliens, ordered deported by the Labor Department, in a Federal detention camp until a passport to another country is obtainable.

Representative Hobbs of Alabama, author of the bill, said that there are 1,800 alien criminals who *now are allowed their freedom in this country because their native land refuses to accept them.* They are located largely in Michigan, New York, California and Pennsylvania. Hobbs explained that often Communists who remain in this country despite deportation orders, are acting on orders from their own country which keeps them here by refusing passports.

Barkley Hints at June Adjournment

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The sun shone on Pennsylvania Avenue the other day, and Senators are now beginning to discuss adjournment. Senator Barkley, majority leader, has advanced the first promise, which was intended to speed the legislators into action on some of the New Deal "must" proposals. He calls it the "desirable" list.

If the 10-point "desirable" list is acted upon without delay, says the Senator, the probable adjournment date of Congress will be late in June.

Included in his "desirable" list are railroad recovery legislation; regular appropriation bills; continuation of the $750-million nuisance taxes (to expire July 1); social security amendment; another neutrality bill; farm and unemployment relief; extension of authority to the F.H.A. and U.S.H.A.; and further national defense legislation.

U S. Gold Policy Hurts Foreigners

By HON. ELMER THOMAS
Senator from Oklahoma

LET ME SHOW what the United States gold policy is doing to the world. All exchange throughout the world is based on gold. When any bank issues a bill of exchange or a draft on some foreign bank there has to be gold back of that draft. So to the extent that exchange is issued there must be gold back of the exchange. Gold is coming to America. We now have more than two-thirds of all the gold of the world. We are draining the gold of the world to America. That makes gold scarcer in foreign countries, and when gold is made scarcer in foreign countries, gold becomes more valuable in those countries. When it comes here, we put the gold in quarantine, so to speak, take it to Kentucky, where it goes back in the ground, and such gold is dead at least for the time being. The gold does us no good, but the scarcity of gold, because of its coming to America, is injuring foreign nations and gold from day to day is increasing in value in terms of property. So long as these policies are continued gold will still keep on going up in terms of property. That will mean that prices will go further down unless the United States further devalues its gold unit and in addition places more currency in circulation.

Monopoly Curb and Fair Money Needed

By HON. COMPTON I. WHITE
Representative from Idaho

IT HAS BEEN my contention all along that the two main problems confronting the people of this country and which the Congress must solve by the enactment of constructive legislation as the means of restoring national prosperity are, first, to devise and put into operation an adequate monetary system free of a continuing interest charge, and second, the enactment and enforcement of the necessary laws to curb monopolies and eliminate unfair competition and unfair trade practices which will operate to give free play to the law of supply and demand and re-establish the parity between the prices of the basic industries — farming, mining, lumbering—and the price of the products of the manufacturing industry which must be attained before there can be any permanent prosperity in this country.

In reviewing the record of the Congress in passing remedial legislation and the many expedients that have been applied in enacting laws to assist business and industry, it is apparent that we have failed to solve the main issue. This situation is outlined in a recent editorial appearing in the *Idaho County Free Press* as follows:

MR. CONGRESSMAN, IT IS
UP TO YOU

The theory of pump priming is the same as that when the old valves of the pump begin to wear out, one can keep it going for a time by pouring water down the pump, and by working the handle rapidly the water will be raised and will flow freely as long as the up-and-down motion keeps up.

The bad part is, that the darned old pump needs a new priming every time you want a new supply of water. It seems to a natural born dumb moron, like myself, that the old pump needs new valves.

We have primed the pump now for 6 years. Once, in 1937 it looked as if it might succeed, but alas, it was only that the old worn-out leather valves had swelled, and gave a fine flow for a time. Then the thing refused to work again, and like the man spoken of in the Bible the last condition was worse than the first. You 535 wise men in Congress, you have dilly-dallied long enough. You have got to roll up your sleeves, spit on your hands, and come down to earth, and solve this problem of 10-million to 12-million out of work, or prepare for the worst storm that ever hit this grand old U.S.A., and there are millions of we common folks that don't mean maybe.

No doubt it is going to hurt. All major operations hurt. And there is no sleep-producing drug that will help. You and I and everyone must just "grin and bear it."

Borrowing will soon end, or end in disaster. Pump priming has gone the limit. Mr. Congressman: Mr. White, Mr. Borah, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dworshak, from Idaho, just what are you going to do?

You cannot plead that it is not your "baby"; it is, and getting wetter and hungrier every minute.

We are all in the same boat. The twin storms of war and inflation are just off the weather bow.

You had better forget petty politics —you Democrats, you Republicans, and you what-nots — and gather 'round the table, and do something before it is everlastingly too late. And again I don't mean maybe.

—POP.

Bomb-Loaded Ships Carry U. S. Flags

By HON. D. WORTH CLARK
Senator from Idaho

IF I WERE to put on the uniform of a soldier and go into the streets of Washington, I should likely be arrested. I am not a soldier, and the law prohibits the wearing of a soldier's uniform by those who do not belong to the Army. The uniform is recognized as belonging to the Government; but not so our flag.

Some time ago at a moving-picture show I saw a newsreel. In that newsreel was shown a private merchant ship leaving the great and glorious Golden Gate, in California, loaded with bombs for Japan; bombs with which to kill people; bombs to be dropped on the heads of helpless babies, women, and children. They were sent to Japan by some big munitions maker of the East in order that he might reap an enormous profit. On the hull of that ship was painted a huge American flag; on the deck of that ship in a dozen places was painted your flag and my flag; and strung from the mastheads were numberless American flags. Picture that. A private merchant vessel, loaded with instruments of destruction, being sent to a warring nation 6,000 miles away, the ship being literally covered with America's sacred emblem so as to afford protection, but thereby incurring the risk of an incident which might be considered as an insult to that emblem and hence risking war.

Do Senators all remember the incidents which led up to America's entry into the World War? If so, they will recall that munition ships engaged in their nefarious traffic and plied their courses back and forth loaded with all sorts of war material. Then, when a German submarine, in self-defense, sunk one of those ships, what did the propagandists in this country do? I remember a yellow poster which was put out at the time. The propagandists did not picture a munitions ship bearing death, sunk in self-defense. No; the picture portrayed the munitions ship beneath the waves, and all of it that was showing was that little flag, your flag and my flag, flying on the stern of the vessel. Then the poster showed a leering submarine commander making insulting motions at our flag. Of course, our blood boiled, and, of course, we went to war. With one incident after another similar to that, naturally we went to war, and it was because of the emotional background which the American people had built up for that great emblem of ours. I think that when that flag is carried all over the world in order to protect private merchant ships, there is danger of inciting war in every place it goes.

Do you realize that England, many years ago, prohibited the use of the Union Jack upon any of its merchant shipping? Yet England had more at stake in the protection of its merchant marine than ever the United States has had. The British have a merchant ensign; Germany has a merchant ensign; Italy has a merchant ensign, as have Mexico and Japan; but none of those countries will permit the use of their national ensign by private merchant shipping, and they prohibit it for the very reason that we ought to prohibit it, so as to make almost impossible the desecration of the flag when it is where it should not be—a desecration which might bring about a war. I think that if the United States could create a merchant ensign and prohibit the use of Old Glory, with all its traditions and implications, on its merchant ships, it would go a long way toward the prevention of war.

Every Day We Go $9-Million in Red

By HON. ROBERT F. RICH
Representative from Pennsylvania

I CANNOT HELP but call your attention to the fact that since July 1 the Federal Government has gone in the red $2,406,197,675. That means $9,473,219 each day. That means $394,717 each hour. That means $6,578.61 a minute since July 1.

Now, if you men cannot see where we are headed for let me call your attention to this: There are 435 Members of the House and 96 Senators. The total salaries that they receive is $533,000. You are going in the red $6,578.61 a minute! Every 82 minutes you go in the red as much as it costs to pay for the salaries of every Member of Congress, and that includes both the Members of the House and the Senate—a deplorable situation for a lot of men who are running this Government. Every 82 minutes you are going in the red as much as it costs the taxpayers of this country to pay your salaries.

Let me present it in another way; let me go one step further: The President of the United States received a salary of $75,000 a year. Every 11 minutes and 43 seconds you go in the red as much as it costs to pay his salary for a year.

If you think what the Congress is doing in the matter of spending money is wise handling of public moneys, you continue in this policy on your own responsibility, for your actions will be weighed and considered by your constituents back home at the next election. I care not whether you be a Republican or a Democrat, do not say I did not warn you, and my warnings are not uttered in any spirit of disparagement or with any feelings of enmity or jealousy; but, just as surely as the sun will rise tomorrow morning we shall have to account to the people back home, and rightfully so, for this extravagance. If you love that American flag, if you love the Constitution of our country, if you have any sense of respect at all for yourselves or for our nation, then for God's sake do your duty. You cannot continue this way. The day of reckoning will come only too soon, and then you will be held responsible. What are you going to do? Are you going to permit these exorbitant expenses of Government to go on, or are you going to begin to economize? I warn you now; do your duty.

Nearest Peril Is Propaganda

By HON. GEORGE H. BENDER
Representative from Ohio

THIS CONGRESS has taken steps to prepare our nation for physical resistance to every possible threat which may attack our shores, but there is still another danger against which it is our task also to arm our people—the danger of propaganda.

It is our job in the next few years to show the world that a free people can solve its economic problems without surrendering its liberty. It is our job to show the world that we can use the tremendous forces at our disposal to rebuild our American society on a strong and fair basis.

I call upon our Government and this Congress to join in the effort to combat propaganda from every foreign source, be it Nazi, Fascist, or Communist. I call upon the national administration to meet the challenge of dictatorship by reversing its policies and doing everything it can to restore the economic safety of our people by stimulating business confidence through acts as well as words.

$1 of Every $3 Goes to Treasury

By HON. HARRY FLOOD BYRD
Senator from Virginia

I WELL KNOW that our nation can never return to the Federal expenditures of pre-depression days, but we cannot operate for too long, without disaster, a Government costing more than the ability of the people to pay. A Federal expenditure of $7-billion annually is twice as much as was spent in the prosperous post-war years between 1920 and 1930, and is 10 times as much as the total expenditures of the Federal Government in 1916. Today the aggregate of the expenditures of all governments in the United States—local, state, and national—is over 30 per cent of the national income. Can we maintain our system of private enterprise if we exact from the net proceeds of American business $1 out of every $3 earned for the expense of governments? We are now actually collecting 20 cents out of every dollar of the national income in the combined taxation of local, state, and Federal Governments. The shortage between expenditures and tax collections is represented by deficits and is being added to the public debt.

Not All Disloyal

TO THE EDITOR:

Mr. J. D. Banks' letter in the March 27th issue under the caption, "Army for Europe," was the best ever published in SOCIAL JUSTICE.

I was interested in his statement that the Nazis, Fascists and Communists resident in the United States would constitute a formidable army to fight the broils of Europeans. These undesirable elements which stir up trouble within our own country should, I agree, be put on the march.

There is one point, however, on which I do not agree: Mr. Banks includes in this army of radical "ism"-peddlers the entire 4-million aliens in our nation, many of whom are among the most loyal subjects of Uncle Sam. I daresay that the majority of them are good, church-going, law-abiding Christians who are trying hard to become citizens.

Many a mother who was not a citizen during the World War had a young son—and maybe two or three —who went overseas to fight for the United States. And many a man who came to this country in pre-war days did not go back to fight for his native land, but remained to enlist side by side with our boys of the U. S. A.

Let us be fair and distinguish between those non-citizens amongst us who are leftist agitators and those who are honest, God-fearing assets to any land.

—J. R.

New Haven, Conn.

Not as It Seems

TO THE EDITOR:

I have been solicited as one who might be interested in the literary productions of the Riverside Press of Churubusco, Indiana. What circulars I have seen appear to support the principle that Congress should coin and regulate the value of our money. Incidental to my purchasing the "Dollar Library"—consisting of *The Inherent Function of Money* by Brown, *National Dividends* by a Country Banker and *Money Interest* by Brown—incidental to my purchasing these, I received a copy of *Dynamic America*, February, 1939, edition. Therein Father Coughlin is accused of deception, distortion, deliberate falsification of issues, etc.

I am strongly of the opinion that the publishers of this magazine are part of the Communistic front, boring from within. The teachings of Mr. Brown exhale an odor of radicalism. For example, in his booklet *Money Interest* he writes:

"Interest is not only the result of an irrational money system, it is quite irrationally paid" (Page 12). And, on Page 13:

"Interest is the product of a false money system."

Father Coughlin never disseminated such errors. Like Msgr. Sheen he confirms the teachings of the Church relative to the rights of capital and labor. Msgr. Sheen in his address of January 2nd, 1938, delivered over the N.B.C. network, said: "One extreme is that the capitalist has absolute right to property and all its profits; the other extreme says that the capitalist has no right to property for all the rights belong to the workers who are entitled to all the profits but both must share in them (and this is the Church's teaching) because both have contributed to their creation.

Mr. Brown would deprive capital of its just reward for, on Page 13 of *Money Interest*, he writes:

"Interest is wrong in itself. It is something we have not worked for. Our earnings are the reward of our labours, interest a share of other people's labours. It is not right."

I am sending a copy of this letter to the Dies Committee.

—THOMAS F. DELANEY

Albertson, L. I., N. Y.

Letters

Mothers Must Act

TO THE EDITOR:

May I submit a few words in response to Mrs. J. L.'s letter which appeared in the February 20th issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE?

Yes, Mrs. J. L., there is something we mothers can do: Let all mothers plead to President Roosevelt. Ask him where his sons will be while yours and mine, as well as millions of others, are lined up side by side in the filth and slime of the trenches, shooting down other mothers' sons.

It's all very well for those sheltered and protected sons with their pretty pink pencils in their lily-white hands —but, ask Mr. Roosevelt if he had to face the probabilities of his sons returning to him (provided, of course, that they did return at all) with their minds warped and their bodies broken —if HE had to face those probabilities, would he clamor for war?

Ask him anything at all, so long as it means, "We mothers do not want war."

Come, all you mothers, do it now; don't wait!

—MRS. CATHERINE W. MEYERS

Brooklyn, N. Y.

Is America Christian?

TO THE EDITOR:

Will you kindly explain Father Coughlin's justification for his frequently used phrase, "Christian America?"

Our forefathers did not establish ANY religion, not even Christianity. What they did establish was RELIGIOUS FREEDOM and tolerance. They made it possible for all of us not only to follow our intelligence and conscience in religious matters, but also to be non-believers if we so desire.

Our illustrious forefathers saw the wisdom of refusing to countenance the establishment of a state church or a state religion.

It seems to be Father Coughlin's impression that an atheist has no claim to American citizenship. Is not HE the one who is un-American when he seeks to prostitute our historic spirit for a sectarian purpose?

Fortunately, religion was banned from our public schools long ago. We are now teaching science, and children are beginning to ask us, "Are the Bible stories true?"

Let Father Coughlin please justify his view that to be a real American one must also be a Christian.

—H. I. L.,

An Atheist School Teacher

Elkhorn, Wis.

P. S.: Will some person kindly answer this letter?

Answer Attack Against Radio Priest

TO THE EDITOR:

Following is a copy of a letter we recently addressed to the manager of Radio Station WEVD:

"Dear Sir:

"We refer you to a recent address of the Hon. Frank McNinch, Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, in which he was reported to have said that stations broadcasting for public service . . . should exert no private censorship over programs which is detrimental to public interest. Where a controversial matter is presented, the owners and managers of stations should exercise no censorship, but should rather permit the American public to exercise a constitutional right of censorship by presenting the other side of the controversy . . .

"We have noticed that you have presented only one side of the controversy involving Father Coughlin . . . You are presenting only an attack against him without giving him the right to defend himself. We refer specifically to the attacks delivered by the Rev. Mr. Kiernan of Bayonne, New Jersey . . .

"As American Catholics we deeply resent your employment of the Rev. Harry Ward, arch-Communist, as a paid propagandist of radicalism and his vicious attacks against Father Coughlin.

"After listening to your programs over a long period of time we wish to express our whole-hearted condemnation of your one-sided communistic presentation of the facts of the Spanish conflict. For propaganda you have employed avowedly Communist confederations and speakers to present only the Loyalist side of the question. Furthermore, we have noticed that you have permitted many speakers to deliberately attack and ridicule articles of faith and moral practices dear to the hearts of 22-million American Catholics.

"Since radicals and liberals such as Socialists and Communists exist by our forbearance and our grant of the privilege of free speech, we Americans demand our constitutional right of free speech in presenting the American and Catholic viewpoint on these controversial questions. We hope that you will not act as have your brother comrades in Spain, Mexico and Russia—use the privilege of free speech conceded to you by citizens to strip others of their constitutional and natural right of free speech.

"We expect an immediate answer to this letter; otherwise Christian and American sanctions shall be the order of the day.

"We know that you have granted to these attackers of Father Coughlin free time for you have advertised that these are sustaining programs; hence, we demand that you concede to us free time for the presentation of Father Coughlin's side of the question.

"Of course we know that the Jewish Congress pamphlet entitled, *Father Coughlin—His 'Facts' and Arguments*, was printed by the *Jewish Daily Forward* which is authoritatively the owner of this station. We realize that the station is dedicated to Eugene V. Debs and that the *Jewish Daily Forward* has rated much space as a Communist newspaper in congressional reports on subversive movements.

"Very truly yours,

"BEATRICE E. TRESNAN, *Secy.*

"Bedford Citizens Committee"

Brooklyn, N. Y.

All by Themselves

TO THE EDITOR:

While presenting some sort of medal, donated by *The American Hebrew Magazine* to Mr. Roosevelt for some alleged service to humanity (probably for shouting at Hitler over the Jewish issue), General Hugh S. (iron pants) Johnson was reported to have said:

"If trouble comes, the world may know from this how your country will unite to support you. That, I think, is the true meaning of this medal."

In other words, if the powers behind the Administration succeed in catapulting us into a war against Germany, in order to secure racial revenge because of the fine levied against 600,000 Jews resident in that nation, we are supposed to run into the slaughter like a lot of stampeding cattle.

I believe, however, that if the day comes when our Government will declare war against a foreign power for the specious reason of racial revenge, General Hugh (iron pants) Johnson and his Jewish masters may have a surprise in store for them. They may find themselves in the unenviable position of having a war on their hands to be fought out all by themselves.

I believe also that we should immediately get behind the bill calling for a referendum before entering into any foreign war. A bill of this kind would effectively spike the guns of the war-mongers and it would reduce the present agitation for excessive armaments.

Of course, as for our being forced to fight on foreign soil, we just would not do it, so for that reason we would hardly need a referendum. But we would hate to see General Hugh (iron pants) Johnson and Barney Baruch *et. al.*, out there in no man's land all by themselves.

—WILLIAM LEE STONE

St. Louis, Mo.

Battle Now Shifted

TO THE EDITOR:

The readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE should be deeply indebted to your magazine for printing so many stories which give the real picture of Spain.

Although the Spanish war is over, the battlefront has shifted to America. Now, we shall be visited by an infestation of the same kind of men who crucified Spain—Blum, Negrin, etc. Americans must be prepared to greet these men in the proper way. We must have knowledge of what they did in Spain so their plans for this country will be checkmated. It is necessary to wake our people up to the realization that men like these are not good men, but murderers with murder still in their hearts.

Thus, I am appealing to you to give more publicity to the film, *Spain in Arms*, which vividly portrays the happenings in that country. Remember the proverb that "a picture is worth a thousand words"—it is an undeniable truth.

I have no axe to grind, only the hope that another blow against Communism may be struck. My suggestion is that you contact the group handling the exhibition and announce in your columns where the film is showing. Publicize it to the point where an American need only shout, "See *Spain in Arms*," to a Communist agitator to crush and silence the Red completely. Make this slogan as famous and as effective as "Remember the Alamo!"

—RAYMOND CONNELLY

St. Paul, Minn.

Belongs on Mantle

TO THE EDITOR:

On the last page of SOCIAL JUSTICE of March 27th appeared a picture that should find itself on every mantlepiece in the land. It portrayed a mother sitting at a table with a telegram in her hand—"She was neutral."

Yes, she was neutral, and the "greetings" from a cold government are her thanks. She was neutral, and her son has joined those legions of misguided heroes who were told they were fighting for "democracy." She was neutral, and the rest of her existence will be spent on sorrowful trips to cemeteries and bitter recollections of the boy whose doom was sealed by the conditioning of warmongers—to say nothing of struggles with a subsequent dehumanizing economic system.

Readers who remember the war hysteria of 1918 will recall how quickly the popular song, *I Did Not Raise My Boy to be a Soldier;* was forgotten, to be replaced by the refrain, *America, Here's My Boy.* Imagine what the new George Creels may do with the magic of radio at their command! How the public consciousness may be consolidated and regimented with the speed of light itself! If we are to have any voice in the protest against armaments and foreign entanglements, we must act now. Once the machinery of war gets under way, all we can do is sit back and regret our supine indifference—and pray.

—JOHN F. MACDONALD

Cambridge, Mass.

A Practical Reason

TO THE EDITOR:

It is announced that the King and Queen of England will visit the United States this spring. It is significant to observe that this is the first time that the ruling monarchs of Great Britain have ever set foot on our soil and, as usual, the English have a practical reason for this unprecedented display of friendship. British diplomacy has found itself in trouble and their day of reckoning is at hand. The wealthy Tories of America have demonstrated that their allegiance to Great Britain is beyond doubt, but it is the poor people of America who must do the fighting to preserve the British Empire.

The British showed themselves as a cold and practical people when they dismissed their former King because he loved an American lady whose social position did not measure up to the requirements of the American Tory or the British aristocrat. There was a great opportunity for the British to appeal to the sympathies of good American people, but that opportunity was cast aside because the real rulers of Britain—the lords and the peerage—did not approve of such an humble spouse for their King. Now, the erstwhile Prince of Wales is living in a foreign land, a virtual outcast and wanderer. His great popularity with the common people counted for nothing; he was dismissed as unceremoniously as an office boy in the Royal Palace because he was sufficiently human to fall in love and noble enough to proclaim it to his people.

Is the friendship of such docile and coldly obedient people worth anything to us? Their democracy is a myth, a fairy tale that has been told to us so often that it is becoming monotonous. The only Americans who desire this alliance are those whose business interests in other parts of the world are dependent on British friendship—it is a question of dollars with them. With the bulk of the American people, however, it is a question of slaughter on the battlefield for a cause that is without any noble meaning.

—U. S.

Philadelphia, Pa.

Help with the Load

TO THE EDITOR:

I wish to comment on the deductions of Hon. John W. McCormick, Representative from Massachusetts, who said:

"Tax on U. S. Payees Will Net Little.

"Employees of all governmental units in the United States in the year 1937 total 3,764,000, receiving a total compensation of $5,669,000,000. The average wage of $1,503.00 yearly, applying the exemptions of $1,000.00 for unmarried persons and the $2,500.00 for married persons, it does not take a certified public accountant to recognize that the imposition of a Federal Income Tax on those salaries will not amount to very much."

I would like to direct the Congressman's attention to facts that would alter his calculations.

Mr. Congressman, how many cases do you know of in Washington where both the husband and wife are on the Government pay roll as employees? I know from reflecting back over the newspaper reports of a few years back that there are a great number in Washington.

In Chicago in the Board of Education of the City Government and, in

the County of Cook and State Government offices, there are cases running into several hundreds where both the husband and wife are employed in governmental service.

Take the case of a teacher in the Chicago Public Schools. It is safe to say that her average salary is $250.00 per month, and her husband is also employed in some other branch of governmental service at $200.00 per month, or a total of $450.00 per month—and no tax paid by either as Federal Income Tax.

Take the case of a teacher in the Chicago Public Schools whose husband is steadily employed in business. The teacher pays no Federal Income Tax.

If you would go all down the line of officials and employees in governmental service drawing salaries from the many thousands of government units you would be forced to admit that it is about time these public servants start helping out the taxpayers in carrying the load of government.

—B. F. CUMMINGS

Chicago, Ill.



Discordant Dotty

TO THE EDITOR:

What a discord for General Electric to include on their radio program "Comrade Dorothy"—as her husband referred to her in his last Washington lecture—just because Mr. Barnes of its Promotion Department says she is a woman.

In her determination to inject us into the Nazi-Anti-Nazi row she displays all the fight of the proverbial spurned woman, apparently in her obsession to make Germany regret having ejected her for her pro-Communist activities and alien attempts to meddle in person in that country's domestic affairs.

No better instance of her 100% fabrications need be cited than her fanciful and detailed description of Franco's bombing Guernica, when no bomb was ever dropped or set off in or on Guernica by anyone, as shown by an official government investigation and as stated in the *London Times* after the *Times* sent one of its crack investigators there to report the facts—facts which indicated that the Loyalist-Communists burned the town. The standing walls and physical condition of the ruins absolutely refute bombing by anyone.

It seems very clear to me that "Comrade Dorothy" would be in a far better business if she were at home caring for a family and attending to her other domestic duties, instead of attending a Bund meeting "for a purpose," as she herself says, and with a prize fighter as a protector, only to return—after ejection as a disturber—with that well-known leftist, Heywood Broun, at his assurance that she would not further disturb the meeting.

No one abhors cruelties more than I. No one sympathizes with the persecuted, "ill-fed, ill-clothed and ill-housed" more than I. And it is because I want to see social justice that I would confine all our activities to solving our own problems before transplanting here those of Europe. There is no sense in our going over there to wash behind their ears before we wash behind our own ears. Let us strictly mind our own domestic business before putting our nose in our peoples' affairs.

—J. D. MASON

Washington, D. C.

More for the Army

TO THE EDITOR:

The letter entitled "Army for Europe" in SOCIAL JUSTICE of March 27th advocated sending an army to France and England composed of 25,000 Nazis, 10,000 Fascists and 75,000 Communists in this country. Mr. Banks thinks that John L. Lewis and some Senators and Congressmen would make fine generals and officers in this army, and that Mrs. Perkins should head the Red Cross division.

The simplicity of the plan is amazing—yet, would Mr. Banks allow me to slightly broaden it?

For example, perhaps Mr. Henry Morgenthau, Jr. could be included in this army. His experience in the financial affairs of England and France particularly may be of great help.

Then, a certain Mr. Baruch also may want to come along. His specialty would be armaments.

In addition, Mr. Samuel Untermeyer, Mr. LaGuardia, mayor of New York, and others would with great pleasure, I feel sure, head a corps of volunteers to dig the graves of 35,000 of this army, while Rabbi Wise perhaps would arrange for the weeping ceremonies for the other 75,000.

In fact, the possibilities are limitless.

Even after this hold-back-progress element is out of the way, however, I doubt whether we would be just one happy family living prosperously and harmoniously, as Mr. Banks seems to believe.

—ALFRED BISCHOFF

Bronx, N. Y.

Rivals for Our Land

TO THE EDITOR:

Communism, Fascism and Naziism—are these diseases of such nature that true Americans cannot find and prescribe the antitoxin for them? By no means!

We have dealt with a similar situation here before during the prohibition era when we were confronted with gangs and gangsters. The above "isms" may be well likened to rival gangs, each trying to "muscle in" on the others' territory.

But don't forget that the territory referred to as "their" territory is America, which belongs to neither of them in the first place. Just like the prohibition gangs, neither Communist, Fascist nor Nazi gives a tinker's damn for America. As were the prohibition pirates, these termites will be tolerated just so long as Americans want them. When we decide there is no place for them in our country—then, and only then, will they go.

If Communism, Fascism and Naziism wish to conduct an elimination contest of themselves, let us see to it that they do not use America as their arena and that we do not build up their "gate" by becoming their fans. Look askance and beware of patriotism-pushing propagandists. Many who sing the *Star Spangled Banner* are not true Americans. Many who paid no attention to the National Anthem are all for it now in times of unrest.

—JAMES DEVINE

Philadelphia, Pa.

Antis Not to Blame

TO THE EDITOR:

I am surprised that SOCIAL JUSTICE has favored Dorothy Thompson with so much publicity.

Shortly after Dorothy proposed that Father Coughlin and his followers be investigated, I sent her my name and address—just to facilitate the investigation. But, like the rest of Dorothy's Moscow-flavored tripe, this cesspool of vituperation was conceived solely to discredit in the minds of the uninformed the greatest leader and one of the finest organizations dedicated to the preservation of American democracy.

Let those who hurl the accusation of anti-Semitism against Father Coughlin realize that nothing Father Coughlin can say will ever breed anti-Semitism in our country. If anti-Semitism ever raises its head here in America, it will be due not to Father Coughlin but to the actions of Jews themselves and their reaction to friendly rebukes.

—FRANCIS J. CLANCY

Detroit, Mich.

Important Developments

TO THE EDITOR:

There is now pending in Congress a bill known as the Binderup Bill. The organization which Mr. Binderup heads has headquarters in the City of Washington. They have published a book, *Uncle Sam's Hospital Chart,* which every reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE should send for, and which not only gives a thorough analysis of this bill, but will show how much actually occurred in the House of Representatives last May and June—occurrences which were not in the daily newspapers. Moreover, much is printed in this book which is not in Father Coughlin's *Money,* because it is a more recent work.

I therefore urge every reader to send for a copy and acquaint himself with these all-important developments. Then get behind this bill with every ounce of strength you have. Get all your friends to do likewise. The NEXT session of Congress may be too late. Already the drums of discontent and revolution are sounding to those who are not too deaf to hear them. The war-mongers will win out if we do not act at once.

The work that this organization is accomplishing without the assistance of the major radio chains or the newspapers is really remarkable. So, let every one of us send for the above-mentioned book and bombard Congressmen with letters and telegrams regarding the Binderup Bill!

—ESTHER STEALEY

Clarksburg, W. Va.

'SPEND, SPEND—TAX, TAX'

This Year Brings Greatest Peacetime Spending In History; Second Greatest Taxation

By **HON. HARRY F. BYRD**
Senator from Virginia

ON JANUARY 4, 1939, the President said in his message to Congress that to reduce Federal spending was to "invite disaster." What has occurred in the past 12 months so greatly to change the situation? We are told that business is recovering, and certainly unemployment is no greater now than a year ago. The Federal Reserve index of business activity is higher now than a year ago.

Let us analyze the increase in expenditures between the years 1938 and 1939. For national defense only $37-million additional is being spent, so national defense accounts for about 2 per cent only of the $2-billion increase in 1939 over 1938. On social security, $155-million more will be spent, or about 7 per cent of the increase. On unemployment relief, $750-million more is to be spent in 1939 over 1938, or nearly 40 per cent of the increase.

For ordinary expenditures of Government $600-million more will be spent, or 30 per cent of the increase. The increase in both ordinary and regular expenditures of the Federal Government since 1933 has been both consistent and alarming.

Exclusive of debt retirement, Federal expenditures since 1933 were as follows:

1933	$3,864,000,000
1934	6,011,000,000
1935	7,010,000,000
1936	8,666,000,000
1937	8,442,000,000
1938	7,626,000,000
1939	9,492,000,000

The ordinary expenses, meaning the cost of operating the regular departments of Government, have shown a most astounding increase. The cost of operating the regular departments of Government since 1933, exclusive of relief and all extraordinary expenditures, is as follows:

1933	$2,851,000,000
1934	2,651,000,000
1935	3,457,000,000
1936	5,309,000,000
1937	4,663,000,000
1938	4,646,000,000
1939	5,251,000,000

I am using the figures from the budget submitted to Congress 60 days ago by the President.

Retrenchment in ordinary expenses may be even more difficult than in the emergency or extraordinary expenses; so it is alarming to know that such ordinary expenses have nearly doubled since 1933. In the extraordinary or in emergency expenses the progressive increase indicates the extent to which prodigal spending has become entrenched in every hamlet in America. Here are the figures: Expenditures for public works have increased from $472-million in 1933 to $1,229-million in 1939; in the emergency expenditures for unemployment relief, exclusive of public works, the increases have been the largest. For unemployment relief alone the following expenditures have been made:

1933	$ 360,000,000
1934	1,853,000,000
1935	1,363,000,000
1936	2,372,000,000
1937	2,527,000,000
1938	1,996,000,000
1939	2,741,000,000

So it is seen that in the fiscal year ending next June 30, the largest relief expenditures will be made since the depression began.

After 9 years of continuous deficits, after 9 years of promises to accomplish a balanced budget, we find ourselves farther away from balancing the income and outgo of the Federal Government than at any time since the deficit spending began under Mr. Hoover in 1931. The deficit for the current year is estimated to be $3,972-million, the largest of all, excepting in 1936, when the soldiers' bonus was paid.

The public debt in 1931 was $16,801-million. It is estimated that it will be $41,132-million on July 1, 1939, and approximately $45-billion on July 1, 1940.

And let us remember that these deficits have come notwithstanding the imposition of new taxes and constant increases in existing tax rates. The tax revenue during this period was as follows:

1933	$2,080,000,000
1934	3,116,000,000
1935	3,800,000,000
1936	4,116,000,000
1937	5,294,000,000
1938	6,242,000,000
1939	5,520,000,000

These increases came in the main from increases in tax rates.

So in this fiscal year of 1939 we have the largest peacetime expenditures in our history, the second largest taxation in our peacetime history, and the largest debt in the entire history of America.

An Unbalanced Budget—And Why!

THE SPENDER TALKS IT OVER WITH HIS CONGRESSMAN—
WE'VE SIMPLY GOT TO HAVE IT!!
THE TAXPAYER TALKS IT OVER WITH HIMSELF—
WHEW! IT'LL SIMPLY HAVE TO STOP!
NEWS
DEFICIT SPENDING CONTINUES

—(Christian Science Monitor)

MARION, DELAWARE COLUMBUS, OHIO

JUNE 18, 1939

SPECIAL TOUR

Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co.

Columbus, Round Trip.....$3.50

Delaware, Round Trip.....$3.00

Marion, Round Trip.....$2.75

MINNESOTA DAY

August 20, 1939

Lv. Minneapolis 7:50 A. M., Saturday, August 19, Milwaukee Road.
Ar. Detroit 10:00 P. M., Saturday, August 19, Michigan Central.

Round Trip individual coach fare, $29.72

(For parties of 25 or more, fare, $20.80)

Visit the Shrine enroute to the World's Fair.

J. J. Burns, 700 Marquette Ave., Minneapolis, Minn.

PITTSBURGH PENNSYLVANIA DAY

$9.50 All Expense $9.50

JULY 22nd

INCLUDES

ROUND TRIP RAILROAD TICKET, BUS DETROIT TO SHRINE, BUS SHRINE TO DETROIT WITH SIGHTSEEING TRIP, BREAKFAST, EVENING DINNER

Leave Pittsburgh, Pa., P. & L. E. R. R., Sat., July 22, 1939, 11:30 P. M., E.S.T.
Arrive Detroit, Mich., M. C. R. R., Sun., July 23, 1939, 7:15 A. M., E.S.T.

Leave Detroit, Mich., M. C. R. R., Mon., July 24, 12:30 A. M., E.S.T.
Arrive Pittsburgh, Pa., P. & L. E. R. R., Mon., July 24, 7:35 A. M., E.S.T.

SPECIAL BUS will transfer you to the Barlum Hotel at DETROIT for BREAKFAST, after which they will take you direct to the SHRINE at Royal Oak, Mich. The SPECIAL BUS will leave the SHRINE at 5:00 for Detroit, with a short sightseeing trip, and return you to the Barlum Hotel for 6:00 o'clock dinner.

YOU WILL HAVE THE ENTIRE EVENING AS YOU PLEASE

Your special train will be waiting for you at the MICHIGAN CENTRAL STATION after 10:00 P. M., Eastern Standard Time.

RESERVATIONS *MUST* BE MADE IN ADVANCE WITH

Mrs. Anna M. Nardina, 1361 Oakhill St., N. S. Pittsburgh, Pa. Linden 4775-J.
E. N. Robison, 122 P. & L. Sta., Pittsburgh, Pa. COurt 3201, Ext. 218.

New York, Brooklyn, Long Island, New Jersey and Connecticut

Fourth Annual Pilgrimage

DECORATION DAY WEEK-END VACATION TOUR TO THE SHRINE OF THE LITTLE FLOWER

Saturday, May 27 to Tuesday, May 30, 1939

$22.75

Via Erie R.R. and Great Lakes Steamer

The rate includes round trip rail and steamship fare. Air-conditioned coaches. Stateroom accommodations on steamer in each direction. Meals at Buffalo and Niagara Falls as specified. Motor coach trip in Detroit from Steamer pier to Shrine and return. Transfer from Steamer to Erie Station at Buffalo. Motor Coach trip, Buffalo to Niagara Falls and return.

This tour is being conducted by Bryan B. O'Rourke, 1807 Westchester Avenue, Bronx, N. Y. Telephone Westchester 7-1731, and reservations and descriptive folder may also be secured through the Erie Railroad Ticket Office, 12 W. 51st Street, Rockefeller Center, New York. Telephone Circle 5-7222.

Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware and Maryland

Third Annual Pilgrimage to

THE SHRINE OF THE LITTLE FLOWER

Friday, July 9

Including Visit of GREENFIELD VILLAGE and Edison Institute

LOW FARE $14.25 ROUND TRIP

Via Baltimore and Ohio Railroad to Cleveland and STEAMER—Cleveland to Detroit

(S.S. CITY OF CLEVELAND)

Includes taxi in Cleveland and motor-coach transfer from pier in Detroit direct to The Shrine of the Little Flower.

For reservations on this PILGRIMAGE apply to either of the following:
Floyd M. Nellett, Philadelphia, Pa. Mrs. T. Geraghty, Philadelphia, Pa.
1242 E. Oxford St., PENnypacker 1902 2812 Poplar St., FREmont 4860

or

The Baltimore and Ohio R. R. Co.
151 S. Broad St., Philadelphia, Pa., PENnypacker 1902

AIR-CONDITIONED coaches. SPECIAL TRAIN!

A $5.00 deposit will hold your reservation. Don't put it off. Accommodations are limited and this is the ONLY OFFICIAL TOUR from this Section.

Easter in the Ukraine

(Continued from Page 9)

village would be closed, one to be used as a hospital, and one as a new prison. "Why should I return again to church," she asked, "when the doors will be closed in our faces soon?"

And so it came about all too quickly, the church doors were to be closed forever in that town and in many others, as most of them were in Moscow, Leningrad, Rostov, Kiev, Dnepropetrovsk, Kharkov and other towns, large and small.

Just about this time the two doctors and two dentists were vaccinating all residents, young and old, as there was also an epidemic of smallpox on its way from the Black Sea Coast of Novorosisck. Vaccine was being rushed by truck and train to the inlying towns, but it was not lack of vaccine this time which took so many lives, but the stubborn ignorance of the peasant in refusing to be vaccinated. On many occasions I went with one dentist, a lady of some fifty years. She would enter each little home and explain to them kindly why it was necessary, but they couldn't understand. They did not trust her, or anyone. "It is communism you wish to inject, since we will not join the Kolhozes" (communal farms). "Now you want to inject us with this new form." And, so stoutly did they refuse that in many cases we had to leave after accomplishing nothing.

One evening after making more rounds than usual, I was feeling a bit guilty that I hadn't enough time that day to see Rieca, when Tussah answered a knock at the door. It was Valya, Rieca's husband, standing there tight-lipped and pale. "Madam Mary, could you come very soon; Rieca needs you." Snatching my wrap, I went immediately with him to find Rieca delirious, her fever soaring, her body writhing in pain. Leaving her husband with her again, I ran home for more quinine, after being told she had not obtained any in 24 hours and the malaria fever was at its peak. While at home I took time to tuck my wee one in for the night, rushing Tussah off for the doctor, knowing no matter what conditions were, he would come for the foreigners immediately.

By the time I was ready to leave, Tussah was home accompanied by the doctor, who seemed surprised that I was well. Tussah had just said, "Come, the American woman wants you." With a few hurried words I explained and we went quickly with our droshki driver, who had just taken my husband home.

It was a warm night and the shutters were thrown back, so that as we approached, faint moanings were audible. Entering her tiny room where Rieca lay on her little wooden bed, she looked at us without the least sign of recognition. Leaving the doctor alone, I helped Valya undress the small children and put them to bed. They, too, slept on tiny wooden beds in such a small space that it could hardly be called a room.

A few minutes later, the doctor came out and, calling Valya aside from the children, told him she hadn't a chance. He turned to me, saying, "Can you stay for the night? I will be back later and I know she will need you." Presently two old Babushkas (grandmothers) came in and I made tea for them. Everywhere I went I carried a little package of tea with me and some lump sugar, knowing how welcome it was to a Russian to have good tea instead of the kind they could purchase at their scanty store.

After some hours, Rieca seemed to have stopped her mumblings, so I sat beside her bed and gently brushed her hair back from that damp forehead. Rieca had always kept her faith. While she was unable to teach her children of their Creator, she always cherished her belief and never gave up hope for a change that she might someday enlighten them. Knowing this, I looked through her few belongings. Hidden away was a wooden rosary with a large cross. Gently I placed these in her fingers, slipping the cold steel cross in the palm of her hand. She clutched it ever so slowly, again and again. With her two feeble hands she tightened her grasp, opening her eyes again, then relaxing. With a last great effort, she brought her hands still clutching the cross up nearer her eyes and then she cried out, "Oh God! Dear God, You—have—come—back—to—me—."

With these words she loosened her grasp on the cross and sank into a heavy peaceful sleep, the first she had known in days. The night wore on as we watched beside dear Rieca, and with the breaking of dawn came a new life into that muddled world. Rieca, who was fully conscious, but spent and worn from the ravagings of malaria, opened her eyes as I was taking that baby from the doctor.

"Di pagulste, give please; give it to me," she whispered. With a nod from the doctor, I readily relinquished those four pounds of humanity to his mother's arms. Cradling him in her arm, she looked down fondly as the tears flowed down her cheeks. She said, "Dear God in heaven, why have You given me another lamb who shall never, never know You? Please, Dear Father, if it is Your wish, take him to be Thine now while I can yet give him back to You."

With a lump in my throat, checking back tears so near the brim, I carried the child quickly to the kitchen, where I repeated those powerful words spoken so long ago in the Jordan by St. John the Baptist over the struggling little form.

As if in answer to his mother's prayer, Divine Providence took back again that soul whose short earthly journey was made but to be cleansed of original sin.

And in that moment and those following the last preparations for the babe, I, too, bowed my head once again in thanksgiving for my dear mother and father who so diligently strove to implant within us the faith of our fathers.



The League of the Little Flower Requests You to Pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God."
ROMANS 15:30

ILL

CALIFORNIA
Los Angeles
Mrs. D. B. Abaslie

CONNECTICUT
New Haven
L. E. Guertin
Stamford
Joseph Callery
Robert Munnery
Waterbury
Mary Jones

ILLINOIS
Chicago
John Dunn
Jane O'Hara
Oak Park
Charles McGuire

INDIANA
Fort Wayne
Miss Anna Whelan

KENTUCKY
Louisville
Mr. Downs

MAINE
Old Town
Fred Dumont

MASSACHUSETTS
Adams
Mrs. Mary Loftus
Dorchester
Michael Reddican
Milton
Joan McNally
Peabody
Charles Burn, Jr.

MICHIGAN
Detroit
Mrs. Davis
Clarence Popp
Pontiac
Mr. Joseph W. McNally

MISSOURI
Kansas City
Mrs. Jos. Arnone
St. Louis
Mrs. H. Albers

NEBRASKA
Lincoln
Mrs. S. Beardsly

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Manchester
Claire Foley

NEW JERSEY
Clifton
Mr. L. Platt

NEW YORK
Brooklyn
Kathryn Ann Hunt
Buffalo
Wm. D. Reading
New York
Elsie Klepper
Syracuse
Mr. Jos. Fuller

OHIO
Cincinnati
George Hykle
Cleveland
J. A. O'Donnell

PENNSYLVANIA
Millvale
Mrs. Hanna McCarthy
Philadelphia
Samuel Chidester
Wyndmoor
Jos. A. Hanlin

RHODE ISLAND
Providence
Mrs. Mary E. Schmitt

VIRGINIA
Alexandria
Sister Alicena

DECEASED

CALIFORNIA
Los Angeles
Elizabeth M. Lammers

CONNECTICUT
Bridgeport
Francis Richard Hart
Hartford
Mrs. Jane Daly
North Haven
Mary Hildenbrand
Windsor Locks
Arthur Babbett

ILLINOIS
Moline
Joseph King

INDIANA
Indianapolis
Howard Rostwell Family

KENTUCKY
Covington
Rev. John J. Laux

MASSACHUSETTS
Boston
Miss Bridget Kennedy
Mrs. Matter
Mary, Paula and Thomas Sheehan
Dedham
Ellen J. Cull
Dorchester
Mary and Thomas Lydon
Milton
Michael H. Byrnes
Joanna and Margaret Cromie
Provincetown
Mrs. Nellie Higgins
Mrs. P. Jasen

MICHIGAN
Saginaw
O'Keefe Family

MINNESOTA
Minneapolis
Mrs. Bridget Keran

NEW YORK
Brooklyn
Mrs. Maloney
Margaret Mausit
Ann McCarthy
Moriarity Family
Andrew Roend
New York
Mrs. L. Fox
Charles and Ellen Gelids
Maria F. Henry
Mary and Patrick McGlynn
Rye
Mr. R. Donovan
Watervliet
Harlow H. Dewey

OHIO
Cleveland
The Carney Family
Leonard Crilly
George and Lena Hasfurther
Anna and Frank Kaiser
Anna Kennedy
Ellen and Michael Kilbane
Alice and Irene Stanton
Mrs. Mary Terlaak

PENNSYLVANIA
Bradford
James C. Tibbitts, Sr.
Chestnut Hill
Mrs. Mary E. Bonner
Erie
Mrs. Katherine Klick
Fayette City
William F. Conlon
Latrobe
John Groetsch, Sr.
Philadelphia
Mary Lynn
Bridget and John Marron
Rose McGinty
Pittsburgh
Msgr. P. C. Danner
Mr. J. E. Gibbons

RHODE ISLAND
Providence
Mr. McGowan

WISCONSIN
Milwaukee
John M. Gauer
Edward McCormick

WYOMING
Midvest
Geo. H. Nelson

FOREIGN

IRELAND
County Longford
Maria Ward Gramard
James McGartland

SCOTLAND
Drumpark
Catherine and Patrick McDade

My God, bestow Thy blessings and Thy mercies on all persons, and on those souls in Purgatory, for whom I am in charity, gratitude, or friendship bound and have the desire to pray, Amen.

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

BENEFITS: 1. You are remembered every morning at mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a special mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

OBLIGATION: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

PRIVILEGES: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

FEES: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year. The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Michigan.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed ..... dollar(s) as membership fee for the Radio League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

Name .....

Street .....

City and State .....

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin



Country Boy Makes Good

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have with us tonight a character who is distinguishing himself in all branches of our world-wide organization; one whose success with us has been so phenominal that his name is on the lips of our organizers at all times—

A character who made it easy for us to "liquidate" for the cause 30-million Christians in Russia—

A character who enabled us to clean up 14-thousand priests and nuns and 300-thousand lay Christians in Spain and also assisted greatly at the burning of churches and cathedrals and the desecration of so-called "sacred objects"—

A character whose honeyed accents induced some 30-thousand members of the international brigade to travel from the United States to Spain for the mere joy of opposing Christianity in battle.

In short, ladies and gentlemen, a character through whose association we hope to delude the masses in all countries—and blindly to lead them to such subjugation as we see fit.

Permit me to introduce to you our newest and dearest ally and friend—DEMOCRACY!

One Hundred Thousand Dollars are needed to carry Father Coughlin's weekly broadcasts through the summer months. THAT SUM IS NEEDED WITHIN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS—or summer broadcasting cannot be assured. In order to keep his contracts with his privately formed chain of stations (after the subversively inclined national networks barred him) Father Coughlin MUST broadcast every Sunday of this year.

As a reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE and believer in the principles for which Father Coughlin is fighting, YOUR FINANCIAL HELP IS NEEDED NOW. Whether you can afford $1, $2, $3, $5 or $10, $20, $50 or $100, your aid is sorely needed.

Remember—Father Coughlin has NO BACKERS except his millions of friends and radio listeners. SOCIAL JUSTICE is absolutely devoid of advertising revenue, and is, therefore, devoid of advertising control. Many excellent accounts have been turned down in order to preserve this freedom. For this reason, Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE can tell you the TRUTH.

Father Coughlin's broadcasts belong to YOU. They are your medium of FACTS about America.

WILL YOU HELP YOURSELF TO MORE TRUTH?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939 Summer Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $..... which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before April 20 and on or before May 20.

My Name Is .....

My Address Is .....

City or Town..... State.....